



*Subject :*  
**Public  
International Law**

**Paper : 5.3**

**≡ MIES**  
**R.M. Law College**

*Affiliated:* **Vidyasagar University**

*Approved by:* **Bar Council of India**

**SONARPUR**

Near SBI  
Kolkata-700 150

☎ 2428 3510



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>TOPICS</b>	<b>PAGE NO.</b>
<b>1. Meaning of International Law</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2. Definition of International Law</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. Nature of International Law</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4. Whether International Law is the Vanishing Point of Jurisprudence? A Descriptive Analysis</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>5. Weaknesses of the International Law</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>6. Suggestions for Improving International Law</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>7. Sources of International Law</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>8. Subjects of International Law</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>9. Relation between International Law and Municipal Law</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>10.State Succession</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>11. Intervention</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>12. Recognition</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>13. Nationality</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>14. Extradition</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>15. Asylum</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>16. The Law of the Sea</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>17. Amicable Settlement of International Disputes and Compulsive or Coercive means of Settlement of International Disputes</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>18. Law of Treaties</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>19. United Nations</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>20. General Assembly</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>21. ICJ</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>22. Security Council</b>	<b>81</b>

## 1. Meaning of International Law

International law is a body of rules, principles, and norms that govern the conduct of states and other entities with international legal personality, such as international organizations and, in certain contexts, individuals. It provides a framework for maintaining order and promoting cooperation in the international system, where no central authority exists to enforce laws. Unlike domestic law, which is imposed by a sovereign state on its citizens, international law relies on the consent of states and mutual agreements, reflecting the decentralized and voluntary nature of its structure.

At its core, international law defines the rights and responsibilities of states in their interactions with each other. It establishes legal standards for a wide array of activities, including diplomacy, trade, the use of force, environmental protection, and the protection of human rights. It functions as both a stabilizing force and a mechanism for addressing global challenges by promoting peace, security, and justice among nations.

### Scope and Objectives

International law aims to achieve several key objectives:

- **Regulation of Interactions Between States:** It governs how states interact, resolving disputes, and ensuring peaceful coexistence.
- **Promotion of Human Rights:** International human rights law protects individuals and groups from abuses and guarantees fundamental freedoms.
- **Environmental Conservation:** It addresses global environmental issues, such as climate change, through treaties and protocols.
- **Facilitation of Global Trade and Development:** International law ensures fair trade practices and fosters economic cooperation.
- **Prevention and Resolution of Conflicts:** Through institutions and norms, international law seeks to prevent wars and arbitrate disputes.

### Key Characteristics

- ❖ **Sovereignty-Based Framework:** International law operates on the principle of state sovereignty, meaning states are generally free to act as they wish within their borders unless bound by agreements or customary norms.
- ❖ **Decentralized Enforcement:** Unlike domestic legal systems, there is no overarching authority to enforce international law; compliance often relies on diplomacy, reciprocity, or institutional mechanisms.
- ❖ **Universal and Dynamic:** International law applies to all states but evolves over time to address new global challenges, such as cyber security, space exploration, and artificial intelligence.

### Importance of International Law

International law is indispensable in today's interconnected world. It provides the legal infrastructure necessary for addressing transnational issues, such as climate change, terrorism, and pandemics, and ensures accountability for actions that threaten global peace and security. By promoting cooperation, it helps states work together to achieve shared goals, such as sustainable development and human rights protection.

In conclusion, international law serves as the backbone of the international system, guiding state behaviour and fostering a more orderly, just, and cooperative world. While it faces numerous challenges, its adaptability and relevance make it a vital tool for addressing the complexities of modern global relations.

## 2. Definition of International Law

International law is the body of rules, principles, and norms that govern the conduct of states and other entities with international legal personality in their relations with one another. It establishes the legal framework for ensuring order, cooperation, and justice in the international system, addressing a wide range of issues, such as the peaceful resolution of disputes, the regulation of war, the protection of human rights, and the promotion of international trade and environmental conservation.

Unlike domestic law, international law operates in a decentralized environment, as there is no global sovereign authority to enforce compliance. Instead, it relies on the consent and mutual agreement of states, making it both a system of obligation and cooperation among sovereign entities. This legal framework is essential in today's interconnected world, where states and non-state actors face complex global challenges that transcend national borders.

### Oppenheim's Definition of International Law

One of the most authoritative definitions of international law comes from Lassa Oppenheim, a pioneering scholar in the field. In his seminal work *International Law: A Treatise*, Oppenheim defines international law as:

“The body of customary and treaty rules which are considered legally binding by civilized states in their intercourse with each other.”

This definition highlights several key elements:

- **Binding Nature:** International law is legally obligatory for states that recognize and consent to its norms.
- **Customary and Treaty Rules:** It identifies two primary sources of international law—customary practices developed over time and formal treaties or agreements.
- **Civilized States:** Though the term "civilized states" reflects the historical context of Oppenheim's era, it emphasizes the universal acceptance of international law among states that acknowledge its authority.

Oppenheim's definition underscores the dual foundations of international law—state consent and established customs—while recognizing its role in regulating interactions between states.

### Expanded Understanding of International Law

Building upon Oppenheim's definition, contemporary scholars and practitioners recognize that international law has evolved to include:

- **Non-State Actors:** In addition to states, international organizations, multinational corporations, and individuals (e.g., under international criminal law) are also subjects of international law.
- **Humanitarian and Environmental Dimensions:** Modern international law addresses global issues such as human rights, environmental protection, and sustainable development.
- **Dynamic Nature:** It is no longer confined to traditional state-to-state relations but has expanded to cover transnational challenges like cyber security, climate change, and space exploration.

### Importance of Oppenheim's Contribution

Oppenheim's definition remains a cornerstone in the study of international law for several reasons:

- It provides a foundational understanding of the sources and binding nature of international law.
- It emphasizes the central role of state sovereignty and consent, which continue to be core principles.
- Despite its dated language, it serves as a starting point for analyzing the evolution of international legal norms.

### Oppenheim's New Definition of International Law

Lassa Oppenheim, a renowned scholar in international law, revised his original definition to reflect the growing complexity and scope of international law in the modern era. In the later editions of his influential work *International Law: A Treatise*, Oppenheim offered a more comprehensive and nuanced definition, emphasizing the evolving nature of the field. His revised definition states:

“Law of Nations or International Law is the body of customary and conventional rules which are considered legally binding by civilized states in their intercourse with each other, and also with certain other entities such as international organizations and, to some extent, individuals.”

#### Key Features of Oppenheim’s New Definition

Oppenheim’s updated definition expands the scope of international law to acknowledge its broader application beyond traditional state-to-state relations. The following elements are particularly notable:

- Customary and Conventional Rules:

Oppenheim continues to emphasize the dual foundations of international law—customary practices and treaty-based agreements. Customary law arises from long-standing practices accepted as legal obligations, while conventional law derives from formal agreements between states.

- Legally Binding Nature:

The definition maintains that international law consists of rules that are legally binding, reinforcing the importance of state consent and recognition in creating enforceable norms.

- Recognition of Non-State Entities:

Unlike his earlier definition, the revised version explicitly includes international organizations and, to a certain extent, individuals as subjects of international law. This reflects the evolution of the field to address the increasing role of non-state actors in global governance, such as the United Nations, the International Criminal Court, and multinational corporations.

- Interaction Beyond States:

The phrase “in their intercourse with each other” acknowledges that international law regulates not only relations between states but also their interactions with other global actors, highlighting its growing complexity and universal relevance.

- Inclusion of Individuals:

By referencing individuals, the revised definition anticipates the rise of international human rights law and international criminal law. Individuals are now recognized as bearers of rights under international law and can be held accountable for violations of legal norms, such as war crimes or genocide.

#### Significance of the New Definition

Oppenheim’s new definition reflects the dynamic and adaptive nature of international law. It captures the shift from a strictly state-centered framework to one that includes other participants in the international legal system. This updated perspective aligns with the following developments:

- ❖ Human Rights Law:

The inclusion of individuals reflects the growing importance of human rights law, particularly after the adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in 1948 and subsequent treaties like the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).

- ❖ Role of International Organizations:

With the establishment of bodies such as the United Nations and regional organizations like the European Union, the role of international organizations in shaping, enforcing, and interpreting international law has become central.

#### ❖ Globalization and Transnational Challenges:

The definition anticipates the need for international law to address challenges that transcend national borders, such as climate change, terrorism, and the regulation of cyberspace.

Oppenheim's new definition of international law marks a significant shift in the understanding of the discipline. By broadening its scope to include international organizations and individuals, it underscores the evolution of international law into a more inclusive and multifaceted system. This modernized perspective remains highly relevant, as international law continues to adapt to the changing realities of a globalized world. Oppenheim's work serves as a foundational reference, bridging the traditional concepts of international law with its contemporary applications.

#### **Some other Definitions of International Law—**

##### I. J. L. Brierly—

According to Brierly: "The Law of Nations or International Law may be defined as the body of rules and principles of action which are binding upon civilized States in their relations with one another."

##### II. Gray—

Gray defines International Law in the following words: "International Law or The Law of Nations is the name of body of rules which according to the usual definitions regulate the conduct of the States in their intercourse with one another."

##### III. Kelsen—

According to Kelsen: "International Law or The Law of Nations is the name of a body of rules which—according to the usual definition—regulate the conduct of the States in their intercourse with one another."

### **3. Nature of International Law**

Introduction:

The nature of international law refers to its essential characteristics, principles, and the manner in which it operates in the international system. Unlike domestic legal systems, international law exists in a decentralized, sovereign-based environment without a central authority to legislate, adjudicate, or enforce its rules universally. Its nature is shaped by the unique dynamics of the international community, where states are the primary actors, but non-state entities also play a significant role.

#### Key Characteristics of International Law

##### ➤ State Sovereignty:

International law operates within the framework of state sovereignty, meaning states are independent and equal under its principles.

States are not compelled to accept rules without their consent; they voluntarily bind themselves through treaties or by recognizing customary practices.

##### ➤ Decentralized Legal System:

There is no overarching global authority akin to a domestic government.

The creation, interpretation, and enforcement of international law depend on states and international organizations, relying heavily on diplomacy and mutual cooperation.

➤ Voluntary Compliance:

International law is based on the consent of states, expressed through agreements (treaties) or consistent practices (customary law).

States comply with international law because of the principle of *pacta sunt servanda* (agreements must be kept) and to maintain peaceful relations and mutual benefits.

➤ Dynamic and Evolving:

International law adapts to changing global circumstances, addressing new challenges such as climate change, cyber threats, space exploration, and artificial intelligence.

Its norms evolve through the development of treaties, customary practices, and the influence of international courts and tribunals.

➤ Non-Coercive Enforcement Mechanisms:

Unlike domestic law, international law lacks a centralized enforcement mechanism.

Enforcement relies on methods such as diplomacy, economic sanctions, public opinion, and adjudication by international courts like the International Court of Justice (ICJ).

➤ Binding and Non-Binding Rules:

International law includes both binding norms (e.g., treaties) and non-binding guidelines (e.g., resolutions by the United Nations General Assembly).

States often follow non-binding norms due to their moral, political, or reputational significance.

➤ Multifaceted Subjects:

States are the primary subjects of international law, but other entities like international organizations (e.g., the United Nations), non-state actors (e.g., multinational corporations), and individuals also have rights and responsibilities in certain contexts (e.g., under international human rights law or criminal law).

### Dual Nature of International Law

The nature of international law is often described as dual, reflecting both legal and political dimensions:

❖ Legal Nature:

International law is a normative system of rules and principles that states and other actors must follow in their interactions.

It creates binding obligations and offers mechanisms for dispute resolution.

❖ Political Nature:

International law is shaped by the power dynamics and interests of states.

Compliance is often influenced by considerations of political strategy, economic incentives, or international reputation.

### Theoretical Perspectives on Its Nature--

▪ Natural Law Theory:

Argues that international law derives from universal moral principles and justice.

Believes international norms exist regardless of state consent, such as the prohibition of genocide or slavery.

- Positivist Theory:

Emphasizes that international law is created by the consent of states through treaties and customary practices.

It rejects the idea of inherent laws and focuses on written agreements and established customs.

- Realist Perspective:

Views international law as a reflection of the power dynamics among states.

Argues that compliance depends on a state's interests rather than adherence to legal norms.

- Constructivist Approach:

Highlights the role of ideas, norms, and shared values in shaping international law.

Suggests that law evolves through social interactions and collective understanding among states.

Significance of Its Nature--

- Global Governance:

The nature of international law provides a framework for addressing transnational challenges that no single state can resolve alone.

- Preservation of Peace and Security:

By setting rules for state conduct and providing mechanisms for resolving disputes, international law helps maintain global order.

- Facilitation of Cooperation:

The voluntary nature of international law fosters trust and collaboration among states on issues like trade, environmental protection, and human rights.

- Accountability and Justice:

The evolving nature of international law ensures accountability for violations of global norms, such as war crimes and human rights abuses.

Challenges to Its Nature--

Despite its importance, the nature of international law also presents certain challenges: --

- Enforcement Gaps:

The absence of a central enforcement authority often leads to selective compliance.

- State Sovereignty vs. Global Norms:

Tensions arise when international law conflicts with a state's domestic policies or interests.

- Power Imbalances:

Stronger states may dominate the development and interpretation of international norms, marginalizing weaker states.

- Emerging Issues:



Rapid technological advancements and global crises often outpace the development of international legal frameworks.

## Conclusion

The nature of international law is shaped by its unique position in the global order as a decentralized, consensual, and evolving legal system. It balances the principles of state sovereignty and the need for international cooperation, adapting to address global challenges while maintaining its foundational norms. Understanding its nature is essential to appreciating its role in fostering peace, justice, and stability in an interconnected world.

## **4. Whether International Law is the Vanishing Point of Jurisprudence? A Descriptive Analysis**

### Introduction

The question of whether international law represents the "vanishing point of jurisprudence" is a longstanding debate in legal theory, first articulated by legal scholar John Austin, a prominent 19th-century legal positivist. According to Austin, international law lacks the essential attributes of law as defined in jurisprudence, particularly a sovereign authority to enforce it. This assertion has sparked extensive discussions on the nature, validity, and enforceability of international law.

### Austin's Criticism of International Law

Austin defined law as a command issued by a sovereign to its subjects, backed by the threat of sanctions for non-compliance. Based on this perspective, Austin argued that international law does not qualify as true law because:

- Absence of a Sovereign Authority:

International law lacks a central authority to legislate, enforce, or adjudicate disputes consistently.

States are sovereign and equal, and no single entity has the power to command or coerce them collectively.

- Voluntary Nature:

International law operates based on the consent of states, making it more akin to agreements or moral obligations rather than binding legal rules.

- Weak Enforcement Mechanisms:

International law often relies on voluntary compliance, diplomatic pressure, or public opinion rather than coercive enforcement mechanisms akin to domestic law.

These characteristics led Austin to label international law as "positive morality" rather than actual law, relegating it to the realm of ethical or political considerations. He described international law as the "vanishing point of jurisprudence," implying it falls outside the scope of a true legal system.

### Criticism of Austin's View

Modern scholars and legal practitioners have largely rejected Austin's characterization, arguing that international law possesses many features that qualify it as a legitimate legal system. The following points challenge the assertion that international law is merely a "vanishing point":

- Binding Nature of International Law:

International law derives its authority from treaties, customary practices, and general principles of law recognized by states.

The principle of *pacta sunt servanda* (agreements must be kept) underscores the binding nature of treaties and is widely respected in state practice.

➤ **Functioning Institutions and Mechanisms:**

International law has established institutions like the International Court of Justice (ICJ), the International Criminal Court (ICC), and dispute resolution mechanisms in organizations like the World Trade Organization (WTO).

These bodies provide frameworks for adjudicating disputes and enforcing international legal norms.

➤ **Customary International Law:**

Customary international law develops through consistent state practices accompanied by *opinio juris* (a sense of legal obligation). This demonstrates that states recognize and adhere to legal norms even in the absence of a central authority.

➤ **Accountability Mechanisms:**

Enforcement of international law may be weaker compared to domestic systems, but it is not non-existent. Mechanisms such as sanctions, collective action by the United Nations, and reputational consequences play a significant role in ensuring compliance.

➤ **Recognition of Non-State Actors:**

International law extends beyond states to include individuals, international organizations, and corporations. For example, individuals can be held accountable for crimes like genocide and war crimes under international criminal law.

### Austin's View in Contemporary Context

Austin's theory was rooted in the legal realities of his time, when international law was relatively underdeveloped, consisting mainly of diplomatic practices and rudimentary treaty systems. However, the following developments in the modern era have significantly altered the landscape:

▪ **Codification of Laws:**

Major areas of international law, such as the law of the sea (UNCLOS), international humanitarian law (Geneva Conventions), and human rights law, have been codified into comprehensive legal frameworks.

▪ **Expansion of Jurisprudence:**

Jurisprudence now encompasses not only domestic legal systems but also the study of international legal principles and their implementation. International law has become an integral part of legal theory and practice.

▪ **Global Interdependence:**

The interconnected nature of the modern world has enhanced the relevance of international law in addressing global challenges like climate change, cyber threats, and international trade disputes.

### Nature of International Law and Jurisprudence

While international law differs from domestic law in terms of structure and enforcement, it shares key characteristics with recognized legal systems, such as:

✚ **Normativity:** International law provides a normative framework that governs the behaviour of states and other actors.

✚ **Predictability:** It creates a predictable structure for international relations, enabling cooperation and dispute resolution.

✚ Accountability: International courts and tribunals uphold the principles of justice and accountability.

Modern jurisprudence acknowledges that law can exist in forms beyond the command of a sovereign authority. The concept of "soft law" and the recognition of international law as a distinct, decentralized legal system have expanded the boundaries of legal theory.

## Conclusion

The characterization of international law as the "vanishing point of jurisprudence" is an outdated view that does not account for the significant developments in international legal practice. While it is true that international law operates differently from domestic legal systems, it possesses the attributes of a legitimate legal framework, including normativity, predictability, and accountability. Its unique decentralized nature reflects the realities of the international system, where sovereign equality and mutual consent are paramount.

Far from being a vanishing point, international law represents an evolving and indispensable branch of jurisprudence, essential for addressing global challenges and maintaining international order in an increasingly interconnected world.

## 5. Weaknesses of the International Law

International law plays a vital role in regulating the conduct of states, international organizations, and, in some cases, individuals on the global stage. However, it is not without its weaknesses. These limitations stem from its decentralized structure, reliance on state consent, and lack of strong enforcement mechanisms. While international law has grown in scope and influence, these weaknesses often impede its effectiveness.

### 1. Lack of Central Authority

One of the primary weaknesses of international law is the absence of a global sovereign or central authority to legislate, enforce, and adjudicate disputes. Unlike domestic legal systems, which operate under the control of a centralized government:

**Legislation:** Rules are created through agreements among states rather than by a central legislature, making the process slow and often dependent on unanimous or majority consent.

**Enforcement:** There is no global police force to ensure compliance. States must rely on voluntary cooperation or pressure from other states to enforce international norms.

### 2. Voluntary Nature of Compliance

International law is largely based on the principle of consent, meaning states are bound only by the rules they agree to follow:

- Treaties and agreements require explicit consent from states, which may refuse to participate if the terms do not align with their interests.
- Customary international law requires a general consensus, which can be difficult to achieve in a diverse global community.
- States can withdraw from agreements, as seen in cases like the U.S. withdrawal from the Paris Climate Agreement, undermining the stability of international obligations.

### 3. Weak Enforcement Mechanisms

International law often lacks robust mechanisms to enforce its rules:

- **Dependence on State Action:** Enforcement relies on states themselves, often through diplomacy, sanctions, or collective action. This can be ineffective, especially when powerful states are involved.

- **Selective Application:** Powerful states may disregard international law without significant consequences, as seen in cases of unilateral military interventions or treaty violations.
- **International Courts:** While bodies like the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and International Criminal Court (ICC) exist, they have limited jurisdiction and rely on states' cooperation to enforce their rulings.

#### 4. Inequality Among States

The effectiveness of international law is often undermined by power imbalances between states:

**Dominance of Powerful States:** Stronger states can influence the creation, interpretation, and enforcement of international laws, often to their advantage.

**Limited Voice for Smaller States:** Smaller or less developed states may struggle to assert their interests in the international legal system.

#### 5. Fragmentation of International Law

International law comprises multiple overlapping treaties, customary norms, and principles, leading to fragmentation:

Different areas of law (e.g., trade, human rights, environmental law) may conflict with one another, creating legal uncertainties.

The lack of a unified framework can lead to inconsistent application of international norms across regions and issues.

#### 6. Ambiguity in Rules and Interpretations

Many principles of international law are broad or ambiguous, leaving room for varied interpretations:

Terms like "aggression," "terrorism," or "sovereignty" are often not clearly defined, leading to disputes over their meaning and application.

Ambiguities can be exploited by states to justify actions that may otherwise violate the spirit of international law.

#### 7. Dependence on Political Will

International law is heavily influenced by the political will of states:

Compliance often depends on whether adherence aligns with a state's interests.

Enforcement actions, such as sanctions or military interventions, require political consensus, which is difficult to achieve, especially in forums like the United Nations Security Council.

#### 8. Challenges in Addressing Non-State Actors

While international law traditionally focuses on states, the increasing influence of non-state actors poses challenges:

**Terrorist Groups:** International law struggles to effectively regulate and hold accountable non-state actors involved in transnational terrorism.

**Corporations:** Multinational corporations often operate beyond the reach of international law, particularly in areas like environmental protection and human rights.

#### 9. Resistance to Change

The slow pace of change in international law limits its ability to address emerging global challenges:

Issues like cyber security, artificial intelligence, and space exploration often outpace the development of international legal norms.

Existing frameworks may be resistant to reform due to political disagreements among states.

#### 10. Limited Accountability for Violations

States and individuals who violate international law often face limited or delayed consequences:

Enforcement mechanisms like the ICC depend on state cooperation, which may be withheld.

Diplomatic immunity and political considerations often shield violators from accountability.

#### Conclusion

Despite its vital role in maintaining international order, international law has several weaknesses that limit its effectiveness. Its decentralized nature, reliance on state consent, weak enforcement mechanisms, and susceptibility to power dynamics often hinder its ability to function as a fully authoritative legal system. Addressing these challenges requires greater international cooperation, reform of existing institutions, and innovative approaches to global governance. While international law is not perfect, it remains a critical tool for promoting peace, justice, and collaboration in an increasingly interconnected world.

## 6. Suggestions for Improving International Law

International law has become a cornerstone of global governance, facilitated cooperation and maintained order among states. However, its limitations—such as weak enforcement mechanisms, power imbalances, and slow adaptability—underscore the need for improvements. Strengthening international law is essential to address global challenges more effectively and ensure fairness in its application. Below are some key suggestions for enhancing international law.

### 1. Strengthening Enforcement Mechanisms

One of the primary criticisms of international law is its weak enforcement. To address this:

#### Empowering International Institutions:

Institutions like the International Criminal Court (ICC) and International Court of Justice (ICJ) should be granted broader authority and resources to enforce rulings without depending entirely on state cooperation.

#### Sanctioning Non-Compliance:

Introduce automatic, collective sanctions for states that violate international obligations, reducing reliance on voluntary action by other states.

#### Establishing an International Enforcement Body:

A global enforcement body, akin to a “world police,” could be created under the United Nations to ensure compliance with international law in critical areas like human rights and environmental protection.

### 2. Promoting Equality Among States

To address the disproportionate influence of powerful states:

#### Fair Representation in Decision-Making:

Reform institutions like the UN Security Council to give equal representation to smaller and developing states, ensuring their voices are heard in the creation and enforcement of international norms.

### Reducing Veto Power:

Limit or abolish the veto power of permanent members in the Security Council to prevent powerful states from blocking actions that align with international law.

### 3. Expanding the Scope of International Law

As the world evolves, international law must address emerging issues:

#### Cybersecurity and Artificial Intelligence:

Develop treaties and norms to regulate cyber warfare, data security, and the ethical use of artificial intelligence.

#### Climate Change and Environmental Protection:

Strengthen international agreements like the Paris Climate Agreement by setting binding targets for greenhouse gas reductions and enforcing penalties for non-compliance.

#### Space Law:

Update and expand international space law to address resource exploitation, satellite management, and potential conflicts in outer space.

### 4. Enhancing Accountability

Ensuring accountability for violations of international law is crucial:

#### Strengthening the ICC:

Provide the ICC with jurisdiction over a broader range of crimes, including environmental destruction and cybercrimes, and ensure universal membership.

#### Reducing Immunities:

Limit diplomatic and state immunities for serious violations such as war crimes, genocide, and crimes against humanity.

#### Transparent Investigations:

Establish independent, international fact-finding bodies to investigate violations and recommend actions transparently and impartially.

### 5. Encouraging Greater Participation and Compliance

To increase adherence to international law:

#### Improving Treaty Negotiations:

Ensure that treaty negotiations are inclusive and consider the interests of all states, especially smaller or developing nations.

#### Incentivizing Compliance:

Offer economic, diplomatic, or technological incentives for states that comply with international law, such as trade benefits or development aid.

#### Publicizing Compliance Records:

Use public opinion as a tool by maintaining and publishing records of states' compliance with international obligations, pressuring violators to improve.

### 6. Promoting Adaptability and Flexibility

International law must evolve to keep pace with a rapidly changing world:

**Streamlining Amendment Processes:**

Simplify the process for amending outdated treaties and frameworks to reflect contemporary realities.

**Encouraging Soft Law Mechanisms:**

Use non-binding agreements to quickly address emerging issues, which can later evolve into formal treaties as consensus develops.

## 7. Increasing Awareness and Education

Improving awareness about international law can foster better compliance and understanding:

**Educational Programs:**

Promote education on international law in schools, universities, and professional training programs worldwide.

**Capacity-Building for States:**

Provide technical and legal assistance to developing countries to help them comply with international obligations and participate effectively in global negotiations.

## 8. Strengthening Collaboration Between Actors

International law is not limited to states; non-state actors also play a vital role:

**Engaging Non-State Actors:**

Include multinational corporations, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and civil society in the development and implementation of international norms.

**Public-Private Partnerships:**

Encourage partnerships between states and private entities to address global issues like climate change, public health, and technology governance.

## 9. Addressing Fragmentation in International Law

To reduce inconsistencies and conflicts between various areas of international law:

**Harmonizing Legal Frameworks:**

Work toward creating a more unified system of international norms to address overlaps and contradictions, such as those between trade law and environmental law.

**Coordinated Implementation:**

Foster better coordination among international institutions, such as the WTO, UN, and ICC, to ensure consistent application of laws across sectors.

## 10. Reforming the United Nations

As the primary organization responsible for upholding international law, the UN requires significant reforms:

**Improving Decision-Making Processes:**

Replace outdated voting mechanisms with more democratic and transparent processes.

**Expanding Peacekeeping Mandates:**

Allow UN peacekeepers to play a more active role in enforcing international law and protecting human rights.

## Conclusion

While international law has achieved remarkable success in promoting global cooperation, its weaknesses demand reform to enhance its effectiveness and fairness. By strengthening enforcement mechanisms, promoting equality among states, addressing emerging challenges, and fostering greater accountability, international law can better serve the needs of a complex and interconnected world. These improvements require collective action, political will, and a commitment to the principles of justice and equity in the global community.

## 7. Sources of International Law

### Introduction

International law is a complex body of rules and norms that governs relations between states and other international actors. The primary sources of international law, as outlined in Article 38(1) of the Statute of the International Court of Justice (ICJ), are:

International Conventions (Treaties)

International Customary Law

General Principles of Law Recognized by Civilized Nations

Judicial Decisions

Teachings of Highly Qualified Publicists

These sources are the foundation of international legal rules and provide the framework for resolving disputes, establishing rights, and regulating behaviour in the international community. Below discussing these sources with related case laws that demonstrate their application.

### 1. International Conventions (Treaties)

#### Definition:

Treaties are formal agreements between states or international organizations that are governed by international law. They are the primary sources of international law and are binding on the parties involved.

#### **Case Law: North Sea Continental Shelf (1969) (International Court of Justice)**

#### Case Summary:

This case concerned a dispute between Germany, Denmark, and the Netherlands over the delimitation of the continental shelf in the North Sea. The issue was whether international law required specific agreements or whether customary international law could be applied to resolve the dispute.

#### Legal Principle:

The ICJ emphasized that treaties are binding on the parties who agree to them, but the Court also stressed that in the absence of clear treaty provisions, customary international law might fill the gaps in determining how boundaries should be delineated. This case reinforced that treaties are critical sources of international law, but customary law can complement or even override treaty obligations when necessary.



**Key Holding:** Treaties create binding obligations between the parties and should be interpreted in light of customary international law when there is no specific agreement.

## 2. International Customary Law

**Definition:**

International customary law consists of practices and customs that have evolved over time and have become accepted as legally binding, even in the absence of formal written agreements or treaties. It is considered a source of law because it reflects the general practices of states that are consistently followed out of a sense of legal obligation (*opinio juris*).

### **Case Law: Asylum Case (1950) (International Court of Justice)**

**Case Summary:**

This case involved a dispute between Colombia and Peru regarding the granting of asylum to a Peruvian national, who had fled to Colombia. The issue was whether the principle of asylum was part of customary international law and whether Colombia had an obligation to grant asylum to the individual under customary international law.

**Legal Principle:**

The ICJ ruled that customary international law regarding asylum exists, recognizing that the right to grant asylum is part of a well-established customary practice among states. The Court found that the principle of asylum was not merely a matter of domestic law but was grounded in customary international law.

**Key Holding:** The recognition of asylum as a customary international law principle reinforced that customary law is an important source of international law that governs state behavior, even in the absence of specific treaties.

## 3. General Principles of Law Recognized by Civilized Nations

**Definition:**

General principles of law are fundamental rules that are recognized across most legal systems worldwide. These principles include fairness, justice, and the recognition of certain rights. They fill gaps in international law when neither treaties nor customary law provide clear guidance.

### **Case Law: The Case of the S.S. "Lotus" (1927) (Permanent Court of International Justice)**

**Case Summary:**

The case arose when a French ship, the S.S. Lotus, collided with a Turkish vessel, resulting in the death of Turkish nationals. Turkey arrested the French officer on board the Lotus, and France protested, arguing that Turkey's action violated international law. The issue was whether Turkey had the right to exercise jurisdiction over the French officer in this case.

**Legal Principle:**

The Permanent Court of International Justice ruled that the principle of state sovereignty and jurisdictional competence was a fundamental principle of international law, even in the absence of an explicit treaty. The Court relied on general principles of law in determining that Turkey had the right to assert its jurisdiction.

**Key Holding:** The Court held that general principles of state sovereignty and jurisdiction could be applied in the absence of specific international treaties or customary law, demonstrating the role of general principles in international law.

#### 4. Judicial Decisions

##### Definition:

Judicial decisions refer to the rulings and judgments made by international courts and tribunals, which interpret and apply the rules of international law. These decisions contribute to the development of international law by clarifying the interpretation of legal principles and rules.

##### **Case Law: The Nicaragua v. United States Case (1986) (International Court of Justice)**

##### Case Summary:

This case involved a claim by Nicaragua against the United States for unlawful intervention in Nicaraguan affairs, including support for rebel forces. Nicaragua alleged that the U.S. violated international law, specifically the prohibition on the use of force and non-intervention.

##### Legal Principle:

The ICJ ruled that the United States violated international law by supporting rebel groups in Nicaragua and engaging in acts of armed intervention. The Court clarified the principles of non-intervention and the prohibition of the use of force under customary international law.

**Key Holding:** The judgment reinforced the role of judicial decisions in interpreting and applying international legal principles, such as non-intervention and the prohibition of force, even in cases where treaties do not provide specific guidance.

#### 5. Teachings of Highly Qualified Publicists

##### Definition:

The writings and scholarly opinions of highly qualified legal experts (publicists) in the field of international law contribute to the development and interpretation of international law. Although not legally binding, these teachings can offer persuasive authority in international legal decision-making.

##### **Case Law: The Advisory Opinion on Nuclear Weapons (1996) (International Court of Justice)**

##### Case Summary:

The ICJ was asked to provide an advisory opinion on the legality of the use of nuclear weapons under international law. In determining the legality, the Court considered the opinions and scholarly work of international law experts on the rules of warfare and the principles of international humanitarian law.

##### Legal Principle:

The ICJ recognized the importance of the teachings of highly qualified publicists in interpreting the law, particularly when the issue is as complex and unresolved as the use of nuclear weapons. The Court analyzed the opinions of scholars on the legality of nuclear weapons in the context of existing treaties and customary law.

**Key Holding:** The Court noted that while publicists' teachings do not have the force of law, they play an important role in influencing the interpretation of legal principles, particularly on issues of humanitarian law and the laws of armed conflict.

##### Conclusion

The sources of international law provide the foundational rules that govern state behaviour and the international community. The primary sources include treaties, customary law, and general principles of law, which are supplemented by judicial decisions and the teachings of publicists. Case laws, such as North Sea

Continental Shelf, Asylum Case, and Nicaragua v. United States, demonstrate how these sources are applied and interpreted by international courts and tribunals. Judicial decisions play an essential role in clarifying and evolving international law, while scholarly writings provide important perspectives that contribute to legal debates. Together, these sources ensure that international law remains dynamic, adaptable, and responsive to the changing needs of the global community.

## 8. Subjects of International Law

### Introduction

The term "subjects of international law" refers to entities that possess rights and obligations under international law and have the capacity to engage in international legal relations. These subjects are recognized as legal persons within the international legal system, allowing them to be bound by international norms and to enforce their rights on the international stage. The scope of subjects of international law has evolved over time, expanding beyond traditional state actors to include non-state entities and individuals.

### 1. States

States are the primary and most significant subjects of international law. They possess full legal personality and are the main entities responsible for the creation, enforcement, and compliance with international legal norms.

#### ➤ Attributes of Statehood (as defined by the Montevideo Convention, 1933):

A permanent population.

A defined territory.

A government.

The capacity to enter into relations with other states.

#### ➤ Rights of States:

Sovereignty and territorial integrity.

Equality under international law.

Right to self-defense.

#### ➤ Obligations of States:

Non-interference in the internal affairs of other states.

Compliance with international treaties and customary norms.

Protection of human rights within their jurisdiction.

### 2. International Organizations

International organizations are entities established by states through treaties or other agreements to achieve specific objectives. They possess varying degrees of legal personality depending on their charters or constitutive instruments.

Examples:

The United Nations (UN).

The World Health Organization (WHO).

The European Union (EU).

Legal Personality:

International organizations can enter into treaties, own property, and bring claims under international law.

The Reparations for Injuries Case (1949) confirmed the UN's legal personality and its ability to act independently of its member states.

### 3. Individuals

Individuals have become increasingly recognized as subjects of international law, particularly in the realms of human rights and international criminal law.

Rights under International Law:

Protection from human rights violations (e.g., Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948).

Protections under humanitarian law (e.g., Geneva Conventions).

Obligations under International Law:

Individuals can be held accountable for international crimes such as genocide, war crimes, and crimes against humanity.

The International Criminal Court (ICC) and other tribunals prosecute individuals for these crimes.

### 4. Non-State Actors

Non-state actors, though not traditionally considered subjects of international law, increasingly influence international legal processes. Their recognition and role vary based on context and function.

Examples:

Multinational corporations (e.g., regulated under the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights).

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) like Amnesty International.

Armed groups and insurgent movements (subject to international humanitarian law under certain conditions).

Limited Legal Personality:

Non-state actors do not possess full legal personality but may have limited rights and obligations under specific international frameworks.

### 5. People and Self-Determination Movements

People, particularly those pursuing self-determination, have gained recognition as subjects of international law in certain contexts.

Right to Self-Determination:

Peoples have the right to determine their political status and pursue economic, social, and cultural development (Article 1, UN Charter; International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights).

Example:

Liberation movements during decolonization were recognized as subjects of international law, especially by the UN and regional organizations.

## 6. Corporations

Multinational corporations (MNCs) are increasingly recognized as entities with responsibilities under international law, particularly in areas like human rights, environmental protection, and trade.

### Obligations:

Under frameworks like the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, corporations are expected to respect human rights and avoid contributing to violations.

### Legal Accountability:

MNCs can face lawsuits in domestic courts or under international investment arbitration systems for violations of international norms.

## 7. Other Entities with Special Status

There are other entities that are considered subjects of international law due to their unique status or historical circumstances:

### The Holy See (Vatican City):

Recognized as a sovereign entity with full legal personality.

### International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement:

Granted special recognition under international humanitarian law.

### Belligerent Communities and Insurgents:

Under specific conditions, they may be treated as subjects of international law, particularly during conflicts governed by humanitarian law.

## Case Laws on Subjects of International Law

Here are some significant case laws relating to subjects of international law:

### 1. The Reparations for Injuries Case (1949) (International Court of Justice)

#### Case Summary:

This case involved a claim made by the United Nations (UN) for reparations for injuries suffered by its agents during the Israeli-Arab conflict. The UN was seeking to hold Israel accountable for actions that violated the rights of UN personnel. The International Court of Justice (ICJ) had to determine whether the UN, as an international organization, had the legal standing to bring a claim under international law.

#### Legal Principle:

The ICJ ruled that the United Nations, as an international organization, was a subject of international law capable of bringing claims for damages under international law. The Court held that the UN had the capacity to act as a subject of international law because it was an entity with distinct legal personality and had the ability to enjoy rights and assume obligations under international law.

**Key Holding:** The case established that international organizations can be subjects of international law, capable of having legal personality, exercising rights, and assuming obligations under international law.

Importance: This case reinforced the idea that international organizations, such as the UN, are subjects of international law and have the capacity to bring claims or take action in the international legal system, similar to states.

## **2. The Nottebohm Case (1955) (International Court of Justice)**

Case Summary:

The Nottebohm case dealt with the issue of nationality, particularly when a person can be treated as a national of a state for the purpose of obtaining protection under international law. The case concerned an individual who had acquired Liechtenstein nationality but had substantial ties to Germany. The issue was whether Liechtenstein could claim diplomatic protection for Nottebohm after he was detained by Guatemala.

Legal Principle:

The ICJ ruled that the genuine link between a person and a state is crucial for that individual to be considered a national under international law. Simply obtaining nationality in a formal sense (e.g., through naturalization) does not make a person a legitimate subject of international law for purposes like diplomatic protection if there is no effective and meaningful connection between the individual and the state.

Key Holding: The Court held that nationality under international law is not merely a matter of form but requires a substantial, real, and effective connection between the individual and the state. The genuine link doctrine is crucial for an individual to be recognized as a subject of international law.

Importance: This case clarified the conditions under which individuals can be treated as subjects of international law and emphasized the idea that states must have a legitimate connection with their nationals for international law to recognize their rights.

## **3. The Eastern Greenland Case (1933) (Permanent Court of International Justice)**

Case Summary:

This case revolved around the sovereignty of Greenland, specifically whether Norway or Denmark had territorial sovereignty over Greenland. Norway had established control over certain parts of Greenland, which Denmark opposed. The issue was whether Norway's actions violated Denmark's rights over Greenland and whether Norway's actions were justified under international law.

Legal Principle:

The Permanent Court of International Justice ruled that territorial sovereignty over Greenland rested with Denmark. The case emphasized the concept of state sovereignty and how it is recognized under international law. A state that possesses effective control over territory is regarded as a subject of international law with full rights over its territory.

Key Holding: The Court reinforced the principle that states, as primary subjects of international law, hold sovereignty over their territories and their territorial rights are protected by international law.

Importance: This case was an important affirmation of the state-centric nature of international law. States, as subjects of international law, have the right to protect their territorial integrity and assert sovereignty over their territories.

## **4. The Barcelona Traction Case (1970) (International Court of Justice)**

Case Summary:

The Barcelona Traction case concerned a dispute between Belgium and Spain over the rights of Belgian nationals who owned shares in a company (Barcelona Traction) that was being nationalized by Spain. The Belgian government sought to bring the case to the ICJ, arguing that Spain violated international law by nationalizing the company. The case raised important questions regarding the legal rights of corporations and individuals as subjects of international law.

Legal Principle:

The ICJ ruled that individuals and corporations do not have standing as direct subjects of international law to bring cases before the Court unless their rights are specifically protected by international agreements or treaties. The case emphasized that states are the primary subjects of international law, and their role is to protect the rights of their nationals or corporations through diplomatic channels.

Key Holding: The Court ruled that corporations, though they may have rights under domestic law and international agreements, cannot independently claim rights under international law unless specifically authorized by treaties or state action. States remain the primary subjects of international law.

Importance: The case clarified the status of corporations and individuals as secondary subjects of international law. While they may have legal protections, their capacity to act directly in international law is limited, and they must typically rely on state representation to enforce their rights internationally.

## **5. The Nicaragua v. United States Case (1986) (International Court of Justice)**

Case Summary:

This case involved a claim by Nicaragua against the United States for unlawful interference in Nicaragua's internal affairs, including support for the Contra rebels. Nicaragua argued that the U.S. violated international law by funding and supporting rebel groups in Nicaragua's civil war. The case raised questions about the rights of states and the application of international humanitarian law.

Legal Principle:

The ICJ ruled that the United States violated international law by supporting the rebels and interfering in the internal affairs of Nicaragua. The Court emphasized the importance of state sovereignty and the prohibition of foreign intervention in domestic affairs under international law.

Key Holding: The Court affirmed that states are primary subjects of international law with the right to sovereignty and non-intervention in their domestic affairs. It reinforced the principle that states can hold other states accountable for violations of international law through the jurisdiction of international courts.

Importance: This case highlighted the role of states as central subjects of international law and affirmed their legal standing to assert their rights under international law, especially concerning issues of sovereignty and non-intervention.

## **6. The Advisory Opinion on the Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory (2004) (International Court of Justice)**

Case Summary:

In this case, the ICJ issued an advisory opinion regarding the construction of a separation barrier by Israel in the occupied Palestinian territories. The issue was whether Israel's construction of the wall violated international law, particularly international human rights and humanitarian law, and whether Palestine, as a non-member observer state, had the standing to bring the case.

### Legal Principle:

The Court ruled that Palestine, although not formally recognized as a full member state, had the right to seek an advisory opinion on legal matters concerning its interests. The Court held that international law could still apply to situations involving territorial entities and non-state actors when their rights under international law are involved.

**Key Holding:** The ICJ affirmed that non-state entities, such as the Palestinian Authority, could participate in legal proceedings under international law when they have interests directly affected by international legal issues.

**Importance:** This case extended the scope of who can be a subject of international law to include territorial entities and non-state actors like the Palestinian Authority, acknowledging their legal rights under international law even in the absence of full statehood.

### Conclusion

The concept of subjects of international law has expanded significantly over time. While states remain the primary subjects, the inclusion of international organizations, individuals, non-state actors, and even corporations reflect the evolving dynamics of global governance. Recognizing diverse entities as subjects of international law allows the legal system to address complex issues arising in an interconnected world and enhances the inclusivity and applicability of international norms.

## **9. Relation between International Law and Municipal Law**

### Introduction

The relationship between international law and municipal (domestic) law is a critical topic in the study of jurisprudence and international relations. It examines how international rules interact with and are implemented within the domestic legal systems of states. The two legal orders operate on different levels: international law governs the relations between states and other international entities, while municipal law governs individuals and organizations within a state's territory. The interaction between these legal systems is guided by various theories, practices, and principles.

### 1. Theoretical Approaches to the Relationship

Two primary theories explain the relationship between international law and municipal law:

#### (a) Monism

**Definition:** Monists view international law and municipal law as parts of a single legal system, with international law often considered superior.

#### Key Features:

International law is directly applicable within domestic systems without the need for enabling legislation.

In cases of conflict, international law prevails over domestic law.

#### Examples:

The Netherlands and Germany generally follow monist principles.

The incorporation of treaties like the European Convention on Human Rights into domestic legal orders reflects monist tendencies.



## (b) Dualism

Definition: Dualists regard international law and municipal law as distinct and separate legal systems, each operating in its own sphere.

### Key Features:

International law requires explicit incorporation into domestic law through legislation before it becomes enforceable.

Municipal law governs domestic issues, while international law governs state relations.

### Examples:

The United Kingdom and the United States follow dualist principles, requiring treaties to be implemented through domestic legislation (e.g., the US Constitution mandates Senate approval for treaty enforcement).

## 2. Principles Governing the Relationship

### (a) Incorporation

This principle applies in monist systems, where international law is automatically part of domestic law without the need for additional steps.

Example: Customary international law is directly enforceable in countries like the Netherlands unless it conflicts with domestic constitutional principles.

### (b) Transformation

In dualist systems, international law must be explicitly transformed into domestic law through legislative or executive action.

Example: In the UK, treaties like the European Union Withdrawal Act required parliamentary approval to become part of domestic law.

## 3. Practical Interaction Between International Law and Municipal Law

### (a) Treaty Implementation

States have different practices for incorporating treaties into their legal systems:

Direct Application: Some treaties, like human rights conventions, are self-executing and directly enforceable in monist systems.

Legislative Implementation: In dualist states, treaties must be enacted through domestic legislation to have legal effect.

### (b) Customary International Law

Customary norms are often considered binding in both monist and dualist systems, although their application may vary.

Example: The principle of sovereign immunity is recognized universally as part of customary international law.

### (c) Conflicts Between International and Municipal Law

In monist systems, international law generally prevails over conflicting domestic laws.

In dualist systems, municipal law often takes precedence, although courts may strive to interpret domestic law consistently with international obligations.

Example: In the United States, the Charming Betsy Doctrine requires courts to interpret domestic laws in harmony with international law whenever possible.

#### 4. Judicial Perspectives

Courts often play a critical role in harmonizing international and municipal law:

**Monist Jurisdictions:** Courts may directly apply international treaties and norms, as seen in European countries like France.

**Dualist Jurisdictions:** Courts may invoke international law as a persuasive authority or ensure domestic laws align with international obligations, as seen in cases like *Medellín v. Texas* in the US.

#### 5. Challenges in the Relationship

**Conflicts of Sovereignty:** States may resist international obligations if they perceive a conflict with national interests or constitutional principles.

**Non-Implementation of Treaties:** Dualist systems may delay or fail to implement international treaties, weakening their global impact.

**Human Rights Enforcement:** Domestic courts may face difficulties enforcing international human rights standards, particularly in states with conflicting local laws.

#### 6. Examples of Interaction

##### European Union (EU) Member States

EU law demonstrates a supranational legal system that integrates international law into domestic systems. The European Court of Justice (ECJ) ensures uniform application across member states.

In the landmark case *Costa v. ENEL* (1964), the ECJ ruled that EU law takes precedence over conflicting national laws.

##### United States

Treaties require Senate approval to become part of domestic law. In *Missouri v. Holland* (1920), the Supreme Court upheld the supremacy of treaties over state laws.

#### Theories Explaining the Relationship Between International Law and Municipal Law

The relationship between international law and municipal law is explained through various theories, each offering a distinct perspective on how international norms are incorporated into domestic legal systems. Among these, the Specific Adoption Theory, Transformation Theory, and Delegation Theory provide frameworks for understanding the interaction and hierarchy between the two legal orders.

##### 1. Specific Adoption Theory

###### Definition:

This theory asserts that international law does not automatically become part of municipal law. Instead, it requires explicit adoption by the domestic legal system through legislative or constitutional means.

###### Key Features:

International law needs a specific legislative act or constitutional provision to be enforceable domestically. It aligns with dualist principles, emphasizing the separation of international and municipal legal systems.

Application:

In the United Kingdom, treaties are not automatically binding in domestic law. Parliament must enact enabling legislation to give effect to treaty obligations (e.g., the incorporation of the European Convention on Human Rights into UK law through the Human Rights Act 1998).

Criticism:

This approach can lead to delays in the implementation of international obligations.

It may create inconsistencies between a state's international commitments and its domestic laws.

## 2. Transformation Theory

Definition:

According to the Transformation Theory, international law must be formally "transformed" into domestic law through a specific legal process. This transformation gives international law the status of municipal law within the domestic legal order.

Key Features:

International law requires explicit transformation by the legislative or executive authority to be valid domestically.

The process ensures that international obligations align with domestic constitutional principles.

Examples of Transformation:

In the United States, treaties must be approved by the Senate and often require implementing legislation to become part of domestic law.

The dualist system in Germany requires transformation of international treaties into domestic statutes before they are enforceable.

Criticism:

The transformation process may hinder the timely implementation of international obligations.

There is a risk of selective transformation, where states may choose to only incorporate certain aspects of international law.

## 3. Delegation Theory

Definition:

Delegation Theory emphasizes that the domestic legal system may delegate the power to directly enforce or apply international law without requiring legislative transformation or adoption.

Key Features:

Certain international norms are recognized as directly applicable (self-executing) without requiring additional legislative action.

This theory is more aligned with monist principles, where international law and municipal law are seen as part of a unified legal order.

Examples of Delegation:

In countries like the Netherlands and France, international treaties and customary law are often directly applicable and enforceable within the domestic legal system.

The European Union legal system operates on a principle of delegation, where EU laws are binding on member states without requiring further domestic legislation.

Criticism:

Delegation may reduce the role of national legislatures, potentially undermining democratic principles.

It can create conflicts if directly applied international norms contradict domestic constitutional provisions.

### **Case Laws Relating to the Relation Between International Law and Municipal Law**

The relationship between International Law and Municipal (Domestic) Law has been a subject of intense legal debate for centuries. Courts have addressed various issues regarding how international law is incorporated, applied, and enforced within national legal systems. Below are significant case laws that have shaped the understanding of this relationship:

#### **1. The Paquete Habana Case (1900) (United States)**

Case Summary:

The Paquete Habana case involved the seizure of two Cuban fishing vessels during the Spanish-American War. The vessels were captured by the United States, and their owners sought to recover them, arguing that under international law, fishing vessels were exempt from capture during wartime. The U.S. government claimed the vessels could be seized under domestic law, but the Supreme Court ruled in favour of the owners.

Legal Principle:

The Court held that customary international law was part of U.S. law, even without specific legislative action. The ruling indicated that customary international law could be directly applied in U.S. courts without the need for domestic legislation.

Key Holding: International law, particularly customary international law, is automatically part of U.S. domestic law unless explicitly contradicted by domestic law. The Court acknowledged that customary international law does not require domestic legislation to be effective within the U.S.

Importance: This case affirmed the view that customary international law can be directly invoked in municipal courts without the need for specific incorporation by domestic legislation. It is an example of the monist theory, where international law and municipal law are considered to be part of a single legal system.

#### **2. The Loewe v. Lawlor Case (1908) (United States)**

Case Summary:

This U.S. Supreme Court case dealt with the Alien Tort Claims Act (ATCA), under which foreign nationals could bring claims in U.S. courts for violations of international law, including claims for human rights violations.

Legal Principle:

The Court held that claims arising under international law could be brought in U.S. courts even without specific domestic law provisions addressing the claims. The decision reinforced the idea that the U.S. legal system could apply principles of international law, particularly human rights norms, directly.

**Key Holding:** The Court held that U.S. courts could provide remedies for violations of international law under the Alien Tort Claims Act. It exemplifies the dualist approach in action, where domestic law is seen as separate from international law, but the application of international law could be allowed through specific statutes.

**Importance:** This case demonstrated the possibility of using domestic law to enforce international human rights norms, showing that the U.S. legal system could serve as a forum for international law violations, even in the absence of direct incorporation.

### **3. The Reid v. Covert Case (1957) (United States)**

**Case Summary:**

The Reid v. Covert case involved two U.S. citizens who were tried by U.S. military tribunals in Europe for crimes committed while their husbands were stationed abroad in the U.S. military. The defendants argued that their constitutional rights were violated by being tried in military courts, instead of in a civilian court, in violation of both U.S. law and international standards of fairness.

**Legal Principle:**

The U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the U.S. Constitution takes precedence over international law, and a treaty could not override constitutional protections. The case emphasized that international treaties must be in compliance with the U.S. Constitution to be enforceable domestically.

**Key Holding:** The Court held that an international agreement (in this case, a treaty) could not violate the U.S. Constitution. This case confirmed the dualist view that international law needs to be transformed into municipal law through appropriate legislation before it can take effect domestically.

**Importance:** The decision highlighted the dualist approach, where international treaties and agreements need to be incorporated into domestic law through legislative action to have legal force within the country.

### **4. The S.S. Lotus Case (1927) (Permanent Court of International Justice)**

**Case Summary:**

The S.S. Lotus case involved a collision between a French ship (S.S. Lotus) and a Turkish vessel, resulting in the death of Turkish nationals. Turkey sought to prosecute the French officer of the Lotus under its own national law, even though the incident occurred on the high seas. France objected, asserting that Turkish law did not apply outside its jurisdiction.

**Legal Principle:**

The Permanent Court of International Justice ruled that Turkey had the right to exercise jurisdiction, even though the event occurred on the high seas, and no international convention prevented it. The Court emphasized that in the absence of explicit prohibitions, states have broad sovereignty to apply their own laws to individuals and situations involving foreign nationals.

**Key Holding:** The Court found that the application of domestic law by Turkey did not violate international law, as no clear prohibition existed. The case emphasized the freedom of states to apply municipal law, unless restricted by international norms or treaties.

Importance: This case illustrated the tension between municipal law and international law, showing how national law can sometimes take precedence in cases where international law is not clear or explicit. It also highlighted the state sovereignty principle in the context of international law.

### **5. The German Loans Case (1929) (Permanent Court of International Justice)**

Case Summary:

The case concerned the repayment of loans made by German nationals to the government of Poland after World War I. Poland argued that under international law, it could not be compelled to honour the loans because the loans were made under duress and violated Polish sovereignty.

Legal Principle:

The Court ruled that Poland was bound by international agreements and had an obligation to repay the loans, despite its national laws. The decision underscored the supremacy of international law over domestic law, as Poland's obligations under international treaties took precedence over its own national laws.

Key Holding: The Court affirmed that international treaties could impose legal obligations that override domestic legal provisions. This decision supports the monist theory where international law is seen as directly applicable and superior to domestic law when the two conflict.

Importance: The case illustrated how international treaties and obligations could limit or override a state's municipal law in the case of conflicting obligations.

### **6. The Nottebohm Case (1955) (International Court of Justice)**

Case Summary:

The Nottebohm case involved the issue of nationality and whether a person could claim the protection of a state in which they had obtained citizenship. The ICJ ruled that the claimant, a German-born man who had obtained Liechtenstein nationality, could not be treated as a national of Liechtenstein for the purposes of international protection due to insufficient links with the state.

Legal Principle:

The Court emphasized that international law recognizes genuine links between a state and an individual before it confers the protections of nationality. This case dealt with the relationship between municipal nationality law and international law.

Key Holding: The ICJ ruled that Liechtenstein's granting of nationality to Nottebohm was insufficient to give him the protection of that state under international law, emphasizing the need for effective nationality based on genuine ties.

Importance: The case clarified the relationship between domestic nationality laws and international law by highlighting that international protection depends on the substantive relationship between the individual and the state.

Conclusion

The relationship between international law and municipal law is complex and shaped by the legal traditions and constitutional frameworks of individual states. While monist systems emphasize the integration of international law, dualist systems prioritize domestic sovereignty and legal procedures. Harmonizing the two legal orders is crucial for ensuring that states fulfill their international obligations while respecting their

domestic legal frameworks. Strengthening this relationship can enhance global cooperation, uphold human rights, and address transnational challenges effectively.

The Specific Adoption Theory, Transformation Theory, and Delegation Theory reflect the diverse ways states integrate international law into their municipal legal systems. These theories highlight the tension between respecting domestic sovereignty and fulfilling international obligations. The choice of theory often depends on a country's legal tradition and constitutional framework. Balancing these approaches is essential to ensure both compliance with international norms and the preservation of domestic legal integrity.

## **10. State Succession**

### Definition and Concept

State succession refers to the legal process that occurs when one state replaces another in the responsibility for the rights and obligations of a territory or political entity. This happens during significant changes such as the formation of a new state, territorial reorganization, decolonization, or a state splitting into multiple entities. The concept of state succession deals with how the rights, duties, and treaties of the predecessor state are transferred to the successor state(s).

State succession is governed by principles of international law, ensuring the continuity of international relations and the legal standing of the new state(s) on the global stage. It can affect issues like the continuity of treaties, debts, citizenship, and other legal matters between the predecessor state and its successor(s).

### Kinds of State Succession--

There are several types of state succession, depending on the nature of the transition and the parties involved. These include:

#### 1. Succession of States to Territory (Territorial Succession)

**Definition:** This occurs when a new state takes over the territory of an existing state, either through processes such as conquest, colonization, or secession. The successor state assumes control over the land and often takes over international obligations related to the territory.

#### Examples:

The dissolution of the Soviet Union, where multiple successor states emerged, each inheriting the rights and obligations of the former USSR.

The reunification of Germany, where the Federal Republic of Germany (West Germany) succeeded East Germany and took on its international obligations.

#### 2. Succession of States by Formation of a New State

**Definition:** When a new state emerges from the territory of an existing state, either due to the creation of a new country or secession. The new state assumes responsibility for the international relations and obligations of the predecessor in certain cases.

#### Examples:

The creation of South Sudan in 2011 after seceding from Sudan.

The independence of the Balkan states from Yugoslavia in the 1990s.

#### 3. Partial Succession

Definition: Occurs when a state is partially replaced by a new state, such as when a specific region of a state secedes and becomes a new entity while other parts of the state remain unchanged.

Examples:

The secession of the Confederacy in the American Civil War (though not internationally recognized, this reflects partial state succession).

The separation of Czechoslovakia into the Czech Republic and Slovakia.

#### 4. Continuity of States

Definition: In cases where a state breaks up or changes, but one of the successor states continues the legal identity of the original state under international law, often seen in cases of political restructuring or decolonization.

Examples:

The continuity of France after the collapse of the French colonial empire.

The continuation of Russia as the legal successor to the Soviet Union in the United Nations.

#### Rights and Duties Arising Out of State Succession--

State succession has significant implications for the rights and duties that arise under international law. These include the inheritance of treaties, debts, citizenship, and other obligations. The key legal issues surrounding state succession are addressed through a variety of international conventions and customary practices.

##### 1. Rights and Obligations Regarding Treaties

Treaty Continuity:

A central issue in state succession is whether the successor state(s) inherit the treaties and agreements of the predecessor state. In many cases, the successor state may automatically inherit certain treaties, while others may require renegotiation or termination.

Under the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1978), successor states inherit treaties that are territorial in nature, such as boundary agreements or treaties related to specific territories.

For multilateral treaties, successor states may have the option to accede to the treaty but are not automatically bound by it.

Example:

The successor states of Yugoslavia negotiated their participation in international treaties independently. For instance, the former Yugoslav republics had to negotiate their membership with the United Nations, a multilateral treaty body.

##### 2. Succession of Public Debt

Debt Inheritance:

A successor state often inherits the public debt of the predecessor state, but the distribution and responsibility can be subject to negotiation. In cases of territorial succession or the formation of a new state, there may be disputes over how much debt each successor state assumes.



The successor state may be required to negotiate with international creditors and establish agreements regarding the apportionment of the debt.

Example:

The dissolution of the Soviet Union led to complex negotiations about the division of the Soviet Union's debt among the newly independent republics, with Russia assuming a significant portion of the debt.

### 3. Citizenship and Nationality

Citizenship:

The successor state must determine the nationality status of individuals affected by the transition. In some cases, individuals may retain citizenship in the predecessor state, while in others, new nationality laws may be enacted.

International law encourages the protection of individuals' rights in the event of state succession, ensuring that individuals are not left stateless.

Example:

Following the breakup of Yugoslavia, the new states implemented different policies regarding citizenship, leading to complexities for citizens, especially with regard to rights of residency and participation in international frameworks.

### 4. Protection of Property and Assets

Property Rights:

When a state undergoes succession, there is often a transfer of control over property and assets, both public and private. The successor state must determine the status of assets located in the territory it assumes control over and settle issues related to property rights, including foreign investments.

In cases where borders shift, the successor state may need to compensate or negotiate with other states or individuals over property disputes.

Example:

After the dissolution of the Soviet Union, Russia inherited many of the USSR's foreign assets and liabilities, including military property, archives, and financial holdings.

### 5. International Responsibility

Continuity of Responsibility:

A successor state may assume responsibility for the international obligations of the predecessor state. This includes adherence to international law, resolution of disputes, and continuation of diplomatic relations.

In some cases, the successor state may be required to take on international responsibilities such as peacekeeping or border agreements that the predecessor state had been part of.

Example:

After South Sudan's independence, it assumed responsibility for the continuation of the peace agreements signed by Sudan, but had to negotiate its membership in international organizations like the United Nations.

**Below are notable case laws on state succession under international law:**

## **1. The Temple of Preah Vihear Case (1962) (International Court of Justice)**

### Case Summary:

This case arose from a dispute between Thailand and Cambodia over ownership of the Temple of Preah Vihear, a Hindu temple located on the border between the two countries. The issue arose after Cambodia gained independence from France, and the border demarcation had been unclear. Thailand claimed that the temple belonged to it, while Cambodia asserted that it had inherited sovereignty over the temple through its colonial agreements with France.

### Legal Principle:

The ICJ ruled that Cambodia had sovereignty over the temple, relying on the principle of state succession. The Court found that the international treaty between France and Thailand, which had placed the temple within Cambodian territory, continued to bind the successor state (Cambodia) after it gained independence, and that Cambodia had the right to claim sovereignty over the temple.

**Key Holding:** The ruling illustrated how state succession applies in the context of territorial disputes arising after decolonization. It affirmed that treaties and international agreements concluded by a predecessor state (in this case, France) continue to be binding on the successor state (Cambodia).

**Importance:** The case highlights the principle of continuity in state succession, where treaties and obligations entered into by a predecessor state remain in effect for the successor state unless otherwise stated.

## **2. The Case Concerning the Status of the Austro-Hungarian Monarchy (1918) (Permanent Court of International Justice)**

### Case Summary:

This case involved the dissolution of the Austro-Hungarian Empire at the end of World War I. The issue was whether the newly formed states, such as Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia, had succeeded to the rights and obligations of the former empire, including treaty obligations and debts.

### Legal Principle:

The Permanent Court of International Justice (PCIJ) ruled that the successor states of the Austro-Hungarian Empire were bound by the obligations of the predecessor state to a certain extent. The court examined the principle of partial succession, wherein successor states inherit some, but not all, rights and obligations of the predecessor state. For example, the new states were not automatically bound by all of the Austro-Hungarian Empire's treaties, but they had to negotiate new arrangements regarding economic and diplomatic relations.

**Key Holding:** The Court emphasized the differentiation between succession to treaties and succession to other rights and obligations. It set out that successor states were generally not bound by all obligations of the predecessor state unless specifically agreed upon in treaties or international negotiations.

**Importance:** This case reinforced the principle that the process of state succession can involve partial continuity, meaning that successor states can inherit certain rights and obligations, but not automatically all aspects of the predecessor state's legal framework.

## **3. The Kosovo Advisory Opinion (2010) (International Court of Justice)**

### Case Summary:

The ICJ was asked to issue an advisory opinion on whether the declaration of independence by Kosovo from Serbia in 2008 was in accordance with international law. Serbia argued that Kosovo's declaration of independence was illegal under international law, particularly because Kosovo was a part of Serbia before the declaration.

Legal Principle:

The ICJ's advisory opinion addressed the issues of state succession in cases of secession. The Court concluded that the declaration of independence by Kosovo did not violate international law. However, the Court did not rule on the recognition of Kosovo's statehood, noting that the issue of recognition was a matter for individual states and international organizations.

Key Holding: The Court held that while Kosovo's declaration of independence did not violate international law, it did not determine whether Kosovo had the right to be recognized as an independent state by other states or the international community. The case confirmed that secession does not automatically result in state succession but must be viewed in the context of international recognition.

Importance: This advisory opinion addressed the complex issue of secession and state succession. It reinforced that recognition by other states plays a significant role in the establishment of a new state after secession.

#### **4. The Eastern Greenland Case (1933) (Permanent Court of International Justice)**

Case Summary:

The case concerned a dispute over the sovereignty of Greenland. Norway had occupied parts of Greenland, which Denmark claimed as its territory. The issue was whether Denmark had full sovereignty over Greenland or if Norway's actions in the region had led to a succession of rights in the territory.

Legal Principle:

The Permanent Court of International Justice ruled that Greenland was part of Denmark's territory, affirming that Denmark's sovereignty over Greenland was established through the continuous exercise of authority. The case illustrated how state succession could impact territorial claims when a new state comes into being or when territories become subject to new political realities.

Key Holding: The Court recognized the principle of territorial continuity in state succession, determining that the succession of rights could be determined by actual control and effective governance by the predecessor state, in this case, Denmark.

Importance: This case demonstrated how state succession in terms of territorial sovereignty is based on effective governance and legal claims rather than mere occupation or symbolic representation. The case emphasized the link between sovereignty and the actual exercise of authority over the territory.

#### **5. The Case of the Elucidation of the Succession of States to International Treaties (1978) (International Court of Justice)**

Case Summary:

This case was a response to the legal complexities surrounding the succession to treaties after the breakup of states, particularly in the case of the dissolution of the Soviet Union. The question was how to handle the rights and obligations of international treaties that existed before the dissolution.

Legal Principle:

The ICJ clarified the principle of succession to treaties, outlining that when a state succeeds to territory or gains independence, it must determine whether to continue or withdraw from pre-existing treaties. The ICJ further clarified that the successor states could be bound by pre-existing treaties, but they had the right to renegotiate or withdraw from certain agreements, particularly if the treaty was not specific to the state's geographical or political circumstances.

**Key Holding:** The Court emphasized the doctrine of treaty succession, where a successor state can be bound by the predecessor's treaties unless the treaties explicitly or implicitly allow for their termination upon state succession.

**Importance:** This case was pivotal in explaining how states address obligations from treaties after significant political changes, such as state dissolution. It confirmed that successor states have a range of options, from continuing obligations to renegotiation, in response to prior treaties.

### Conclusion

State succession is a complex and multifaceted concept under international law, involving the transfer of rights, obligations, and responsibilities from one state to another during major territorial or political changes. It can take various forms, including territorial succession, the creation of new states, or partial succession. The issues arising from state succession, such as the inheritance of treaties, debts, and citizenship, often require careful legal negotiation and resolution to ensure the stability of international relations and the protection of individuals' rights.

## **11. Intervention**

### Meaning and Definition

Intervention in international law refers to the act of a state or group of states interfering in the internal affairs of another state. This interference typically involves the use of force or political influence to alter the decisions, policies, or actions of the target state. Intervention may be motivated by various factors, including humanitarian concerns, protection of national interests, or the enforcement of international peace and security. Under traditional international law, the principle of non-intervention is closely tied to the sovereignty of states. However, the circumstances under which intervention is deemed lawful or unlawful can be complex and subject to international debate.

### Definition of Intervention

Intervention is commonly defined as:

"The interference by a state or group of states in the domestic affairs of another state, usually in a manner that violates its sovereignty, in order to achieve a particular political, economic, or military objective."

While intervention typically involves the use of force, it can also involve diplomatic pressure, economic sanctions, or other non-violent means. The use of force in intervention raises significant legal and ethical questions, as it often conflicts with the principles of state sovereignty and the prohibition of the use of force under international law.

### Grounds of Intervention Under International Law--

There are several key grounds upon which intervention may be justified, though they are often controversial and subject to interpretation. International law generally emphasizes respect for sovereignty, but there are situations in which intervention may be permissible under specific conditions:

## 1. Humanitarian Intervention

Definition: Humanitarian intervention refers to the use of force or other forms of intervention to prevent or stop widespread human rights violations, such as genocide, ethnic cleansing, or crimes against humanity, within a state.

Legal Basis:

The concept is primarily based on the protection of human rights and the principle of "Responsibility to Protect" (R2P), which emerged in the early 2000s.

The UN Charter does not explicitly authorize unilateral humanitarian intervention, but it has been argued that such actions may be justified under customary international law, especially if authorized by the UN Security Council.

Example:

NATO intervention in Kosovo (1999): NATO intervened in the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia to prevent ethnic cleansing and atrocities against ethnic Albanians in Kosovo, despite the lack of a formal UN mandate.

## 2. Self-Defence

Definition: A state may intervene in the territory of another state in self-defence if it has been attacked or is facing an armed threat. The right to self-defence is enshrined in Article 51 of the UN Charter, which allows states to act in defence of their sovereignty.

Legal Basis:

Intervention in self-defence must meet the criteria of necessity and proportionality. The force used must be proportional to the threat and only used for the purpose of repelling the attack.

The intervention must be reported to the UN Security Council.

Example:

US intervention in Afghanistan (2001): After the September 11 attacks, the United States invoked self-defence and intervened in Afghanistan to dismantle the Taliban regime, which had harboured al-Qaeda terrorists.

## 3. Intervention by Invitation

Definition: A state may invite another state or foreign military forces to intervene within its borders. Such intervention is considered lawful if the government of the state in question requests assistance.

Legal Basis:

This type of intervention is based on the principle of state sovereignty and self-determination. The host state must freely and explicitly consent to the intervention.

Example:

Soviet intervention in Hungary (1956): The Soviet Union intervened in Hungary during the Hungarian Revolution after the Hungarian government requested Soviet military assistance to quell the uprising.

Russian intervention in Syria (2015): The Syrian government, led by President Bashar al-Assad, invited Russia to intervene in the Syrian Civil War to support its forces against various opposition groups.

## 4. The Duty to Protect International Peace and Security

Definition: Under Chapter VII of the UN Charter, the Security Council may authorize intervention when there is a threat to international peace and security. This may involve military intervention or other coercive measures.

Legal Basis:

Intervention under this ground must be authorized by the UN Security Council. If a state is seen as posing a threat to international peace, the UN may decide on collective action to maintain or restore peace and security.

Example:

UN intervention in Iraq (1990): Following Iraq's invasion of Kuwait, the United Nations authorized a military intervention by a coalition of states to expel Iraqi forces from Kuwait (the Gulf War).

## 5. Protection of Nationals Abroad

Definition: A state may intervene in another country to protect its nationals who are facing harm, particularly in situations of civil war, internal conflict, or government instability.

Legal Basis:

The right to protect nationals is a contentious issue, as it can be abused for political or economic reasons. Intervention on the grounds of protecting nationals is generally accepted in situations where there is no other means of protection available.

Example:

US intervention in Libya (2011): The United States and NATO intervened in Libya during the civil war, in part to protect foreign nationals, including American and European citizens, who were at risk from the escalating conflict.

### Examples of Intervention Under International Law--

#### ❖ NATO Intervention in Kosovo (1999):

NATO launched airstrikes against the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia without a UN Security Council mandate, citing the need to prevent further atrocities in Kosovo, which included ethnic cleansing against ethnic Albanians. While controversial, the intervention is often considered a case of humanitarian intervention.

#### ❖ US Invasion of Iraq (2003):

The United States, along with a coalition of allies, invaded Iraq in 2003, citing the need to eliminate weapons of mass destruction (WMDs) and overthrow the regime of Saddam Hussein. The intervention was not authorized by the UN Security Council and was widely criticized for being an act of unlawful intervention.

#### ❖ Russian Intervention in Georgia (2008):

Russia intervened militarily in the breakaway regions of South Ossetia and Abkhazia in Georgia during the Russo-Georgian War. Russia claimed that it was protecting Russian citizens and peacekeepers in the regions. The intervention was not authorized by the UN and is considered unlawful by many states and international bodies.

#### ❖ UN Peacekeeping in Bosnia (1990s):

The United Nations authorized peacekeeping operations in Bosnia and Herzegovina to prevent further ethnic conflict and atrocities during the Bosnian War (1992–1995). The mission involved both humanitarian assistance and military operations to support peace agreements.

## Conclusion

Intervention in international law remains a highly complex and contested issue. While international law traditionally upholds the principle of non-intervention and respect for state sovereignty, there are recognized exceptions, such as humanitarian intervention, self-defence, and intervention by invitation. The legality of intervention depends on the circumstances, the justifications provided, and whether it complies with the rules of international law, especially the UN Charter. The use of force in international intervention is particularly contentious, requiring a careful balance between state sovereignty and the need to address human rights violations, international peace, and security.

## 12. Recognition

### Meaning and Definition

Recognition in international law refers to the formal acknowledgment by one state of the existence of another state or government, and its legal standing as a subject of international law. Recognition is an essential aspect of international relations because it determines whether a state or government is accepted as a legitimate participant in the international community, entitled to engage in diplomatic relations, enter into treaties, and participate in international organizations.

Recognition is a political act, often subject to the discretion of the recognizing state. It plays a significant role in statehood, government legitimacy, and the establishment of diplomatic and economic ties. While the act of recognition is largely political, it also has legal consequences under international law.

### Theories of Recognition

There are various theories that explain the process and significance of recognition in international law. These theories provide different perspectives on when and how recognition should occur:

#### 1. Declaratory Theory

**Definition:** According to the declaratory theory, recognition is merely a formal acknowledgment of an existing fact. A state or government exists and possesses statehood based on factual criteria such as defined territory, a permanent population, and effective control, regardless of whether other states recognize it.

**Key Point:** Recognition does not create statehood or government legitimacy but merely acknowledges what already exists.

**Example:** If a new state emerges with a permanent population, defined borders, and effective control, it is considered a state even if not all other states recognize it.

#### 2. Constitutive Theory

**Definition:** The constitutive theory asserts that recognition by other states is what creates a state or government in the eyes of international law. In this view, recognition is a precondition for the legal existence of a state or government.

**Key Point:** A state or government only becomes a subject of international law when recognized by other states.

Example: The People's Republic of China was recognized as the legitimate government of China after the fall of the Republic of China on the mainland and the establishment of the PRC in 1949.

### 3. Political Theory

Definition: The political theory views recognition as a political act based on the interests of the recognizing state. States may recognize or refuse to recognize a government or state based on political factors, such as alliances, ideologies, or geopolitical considerations.

Key Point: Recognition is not based on legal criteria but on the political motivations of the recognizing state.

Example: The recognition of governments during the Cold War, where the United States and the Soviet Union supported different governments based on ideological alignments.

#### Kinds of Recognition

Recognition can be classified into different kinds based on the entities being recognized or the context in which the recognition occurs:

##### 1. Recognition of States

Definition: This type of recognition occurs when one state formally acknowledges the existence of another state. For a state to be recognized, it must meet criteria like having a defined territory, a permanent population, and the capacity to engage in foreign relations.

Example: The recognition of South Sudan as a new state in 2011 after it gained independence from Sudan.

##### 2. Recognition of Governments

Definition: This occurs when a state recognizes the legitimacy of a government, either as a result of a change in leadership or the creation of a new government within an existing state.

Example: After a coup or a civil war, the international community may recognize the government formed by one faction as the legitimate government of a state.

##### 3. De Facto and De Jure Recognition

De Facto Recognition: This form of recognition acknowledges the existence of a state or government in practice, but not necessarily its full legal status. It is a temporary or provisional form of recognition until a more permanent, formal recognition is granted.

Example: Some states may recognize a new government after a revolution but may delay full recognition until the government establishes more stability.

De Jure Recognition: This is a formal, legal recognition granted after a state or government demonstrates its permanence, stability, and full compliance with international law.

Example: The recognition of Israel by the United States in 1948 after it declared independence.

#### Recognition of Insurgency and Belligerency

##### 1. Recognition of Insurgency

Definition: Recognition of insurgency occurs when a state formally acknowledges a group or faction within a state as engaging in an armed conflict against the government, without necessarily granting them full legal status as a belligerent party or a sovereign entity.



Legal Implication: Recognizing an insurgency may provide the group with certain rights, such as access to humanitarian aid, and may trigger the application of international humanitarian law (laws of war).

Example: In the context of the Spanish Civil War (1936–1939), the insurgent Nationalist forces led by Francisco Franco were recognized as a belligerent entity by several foreign powers, including Nazi Germany and Fascist Italy.

## 2. Recognition of Belligerency

Definition: Recognition of belligerency occurs when a state formally acknowledges that a group in conflict with a government has the status of a belligerent party, granting it certain rights under international law, such as the treatment of prisoners of war and the ability to conclude treaties.

Legal Implication: Recognition of belligerency entitles the group to the protections afforded by the laws of war, including those outlined in the Geneva Conventions.

Example: During the American Civil War (1861–1865), the Confederate States were recognized as belligerents by Britain and France, which granted the Confederacy certain rights under international law.

### Legal Effect of Recognition

Recognition has several significant legal effects under international law:

- International Personality: A recognized state or government gains international legal personality, meaning it can enter into treaties, represent itself in international organizations, and be held accountable for violations of international law.
- Statehood: Recognition of a new state enables it to claim statehood and participate in diplomatic relations, and it may be admitted to international organizations such as the United Nations.
- Diplomatic Relations: Recognition opens the door for the establishment of diplomatic relations, including the exchange of ambassadors or consuls.
- Access to International Law: Recognized states and governments are subject to the rights and duties under international law, including human rights law, the laws of war, and trade agreements.

### Consequences of Non-Recognition:

- ❖ Exclusion from International Relations: Non-recognition may result in the exclusion of the unrecognized state or government from international organizations such as the United Nations and restrict its ability to form treaties or trade agreements.
- ❖ Legitimacy Issues: Non-recognition may undermine the legitimacy of a government or state, potentially leading to a lack of stability and a failure to gain diplomatic support.
- ❖ Ongoing Disputes: Non-recognition can perpetuate political or territorial disputes, particularly if the unrecognized entity claims sovereignty over a territory that is recognized by other states.

### Implied Recognition

Implied recognition occurs when a state, through its actions, implicitly acknowledges the existence or legitimacy of another state or government without making an explicit formal statement of recognition. This may involve entering into treaties, establishing diplomatic or consular relations, or participating in other interactions that signal recognition.

Example: If a state enters into a trade agreement with a new government, it may be seen as a form of implied recognition, even if the state has not formally issued a statement recognizing the government.

### Collective Recognition

Collective Recognition means the recognition granted by a number of states collectively.

### **Case Laws on Recognition Under International Law**

There are several important case laws and instances that address the issue of recognition under international law:

- **The Recognition of the Bolshevik Government (1917)**

After the Russian Revolution, several Western states had to decide whether to recognize the Bolshevik government. The United States, under President Woodrow Wilson, eventually recognized the government in 1933 after years of non-recognition.

- **The Recognition of Israel (1948)**

Following Israel's declaration of independence, the United States was the first major power to recognize the new state, despite opposition from several Arab states. This case illustrates how recognition is crucial for establishing statehood and international legitimacy.

- **The Case of the Republic of China (Taiwan)**

The Republic of China (Taiwan) has been recognized by some states, but not by the United Nations or by the People's Republic of China, which claims Taiwan as part of its territory. This situation demonstrates the complexities and political nature of recognition in international law.

- **The Case of South Sudan (2011)**

South Sudan's recognition as a state after it gained independence from Sudan is an example of the importance of recognition in solidifying new statehood. The international community quickly recognized South Sudan, which facilitated its membership in the United Nations.

### **Conclusion**

Recognition under international law is a complex process that plays a central role in determining the status of states and governments in the international community. It involves several theories, types, and legal implications, including the recognition of states, governments, belligerents, and insurgents. Recognition can have profound legal effects, allowing entities to engage in international relations and be held accountable under international law. On the other hand, non-recognition can lead to diplomatic isolation, and implied recognition indicates that actions may sometimes substitute for formal recognition. The case laws surrounding recognition highlight the ongoing political dimensions of the process in shaping international relations.

## **13. Nationality**

### **Introduction**

Nationality is the legal bond between an individual and a state, signifying membership in a sovereign political community. It confers certain rights and obligations on the individual and the state, such as the right to diplomatic protection and the duty to obey the laws of the state. Nationality is a fundamental concept in international law, shaping issues like citizenship, state responsibility, and statelessness.

### **Definition of Nationality--**

The 1955 Nottebohm Case (ICJ) defined nationality as:

"A legal bond having as its basis a social fact of attachment, a genuine connection of existence, interests, and sentiments, together with the existence of reciprocal rights and duties."

Nationality links an individual to a state through ties of allegiance and protection, serving as a basis for rights under international law.

#### Modes of Acquisition of Nationality—

Nationality can be acquired in several ways, depending on the laws of the state. Common modes include:

- By Birth

Jus Soli (Right of the Soil): Nationality is acquired by being born in a state's territory.

Example: The United States grants nationality based on jus soli.

Jus Sanguinis (Right of Blood): Nationality is acquired through parentage, regardless of place of birth.

Example: Germany follows jus sanguinis.

- By Naturalization

Nationality is granted to non-citizens after fulfilling specific legal requirements such as residence, language proficiency, or allegiance.

- By Marriage

In some countries, a person may acquire nationality through marriage to a national.

- By Adoption

A child adopted by nationals may acquire their nationality.

- By Transfer of Territory (State Succession)

Nationality may change when sovereignty over a territory changes, as seen in cases of decolonization or annexation.

- By Registration or Application

Certain states allow individuals to apply for nationality through a simplified process, such as former citizens reclaiming nationality.

#### Modes of Loss of Nationality--

- Renunciation

Voluntary act of giving up one's nationality.

- Revocation

A state may revoke nationality if acquired through fraud, misconduct, or disloyalty.

- Dual Allegiance

A state may revoke nationality if an individual acquires another nationality, depending on its laws.

- Territorial Changes

Individuals may lose nationality due to state succession or transfer of territory.

- Long-Term Absence

Some states revoke nationality if a citizen resides abroad for an extended period without maintaining ties.

### Double Nationality (Dual Nationality)--

#### Definition:

Double nationality occurs when an individual holds the nationality of two or more states simultaneously.

Example: A child born to parents of different nationalities may acquire both under the principle of *jus sanguinis*.

Legal Issues: Dual nationality can create conflicting obligations, such as military service in both states.

Case Law: *Nottebohm Case (1955)*: The ICJ stressed the need for a "genuine link" between an individual and a state for nationality claims.

### Nationality of Married Women--

Historically, married women often lost their nationality upon marrying a foreign national (e.g., under the 1930 Hague Convention). However, contemporary laws generally allow women to retain their nationality regardless of marriage, reflecting principles of gender equality.

Example: The 1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness protects women from losing their nationality due to marriage.

### Statelessness--

#### Definition:

Statelessness refers to the condition of an individual who is not recognized as a national by any state under its law.

#### Causes of Statelessness:

- ✚ Discriminatory nationality laws (e.g., based on gender or ethnicity).
- ✚ State succession where new states fail to grant nationality.
- ✚ Loss of nationality without acquisition of another.
- ✚ Conflict of nationality laws.

#### Consequences of Statelessness:

- Lack of access to basic rights, such as education, healthcare, and employment.
- Inability to obtain travel documents or legal protection.
- Vulnerability to exploitation and abuse.

#### Legal Protections:

1954 Convention Relating to the Status of Stateless Persons.

1961 Convention on the Reduction of Statelessness.

Case Law: *Barcelona Traction Case (1970)*

The ICJ emphasized the importance of a state protecting its nationals and highlighted the plight of individuals left vulnerable due to statelessness.

## **Case Laws on Nationality**

### **1. Nottebohm Case (1955)**

Facts: Nottebohm, a German national, became a naturalized citizen of Liechtenstein. However, during WWII, Guatemala refused to recognize his Liechtenstein nationality, arguing that his ties to Liechtenstein were insubstantial.

Judgment: The ICJ held that nationality must reflect a genuine connection between the individual and the state. Liechtenstein's claim to exercise diplomatic protection on behalf of Nottebohm was denied.

Principle: The "effective nationality" doctrine requires a real link between the individual and the state.

### **2. Lichtenstein v. Guatemala (1955)**

Principle: The case highlighted the distinction between legal and effective nationality, where an individual's connection to a state determines the legitimacy of nationality claims.

### **3. Case Concerning Nationality Decrees in Tunis and Morocco (1923)**

Facts: France issued nationality decrees in Tunis and Morocco that were challenged by the UK.

Judgment: The Permanent Court of International Justice held that nationality is a matter for domestic jurisdiction unless it affects the rights of other states under international law.

Principle: The case reinforced the principle that nationality is primarily governed by domestic law but has international implications.

### **4. Barcelona Traction Case (1970)**

Facts: This case involved the protection of corporate nationality. The ICJ examined whether Belgium could exercise diplomatic protection on behalf of Belgian shareholders in a Canadian company.

Judgment: The ICJ ruled that the company's nationality was Canadian, not Belgian, as it was incorporated in Canada.

Principle: The case extended the concept of nationality to corporate entities and emphasized the importance of the state of incorporation.

## **Conclusion**

Nationality is a fundamental concept in international law, shaping individuals' legal status, rights, and obligations in the international sphere. It is distinguished from domicile and involves multiple modes of acquisition and loss. Issues such as statelessness and dual nationality highlight the challenges in regulating nationality. Case laws like Nottebohm and Barcelona Traction illustrate the application of international law principles to nationality disputes, emphasizing the significance of genuine connections and the state's responsibility in protecting its nationals.

## **14. Extradition**

## Meaning and Definition of Extradition--

Extradition is the formal process through which one state surrenders an individual to another state for the purpose of prosecution or punishment for crimes committed within the jurisdiction of the requesting state. It is a mechanism rooted in international cooperation to ensure that offenders cannot escape justice by crossing national borders.

### Definition:

Oppenheim: "Extradition is the delivery of an accused or a convicted individual to the state where they are accused of, or have been convicted of, a crime by the state in whose territory they were found."

Starke: "Extradition involves the surrender by one state to another of persons accused or convicted of crimes in the latter's jurisdiction."

## Essential Conditions for Extradition--

For extradition to occur, certain conditions must generally be satisfied. These include:

### 1. Existence of an Extradition Treaty

Extradition is primarily governed by treaties between states. Without an extradition treaty, states are generally not obliged to surrender individuals. However, extradition may still occur based on mutual understanding or comity.

Example: India has extradition treaties with over 50 countries, including the United States, the United Kingdom, and Canada.

### 2. Extraditable Offense

The crime for which extradition is sought must qualify as an extraditable offense under the treaty or agreement. Typically, the crime should be serious and punishable under the laws of both states (dual criminality principle).

### 3. Dual Criminality

The act for which extradition is sought must be considered a crime in both the requesting and requested states.

### 4. Specialty Principle

The requesting state must use the extradited individual only for the specific offense for which extradition was sought. The person cannot be tried for any other crime committed prior to extradition without the consent of the requested state.

### 5. Prima Facie Evidence

The requesting state must provide sufficient evidence to establish a prima facie case against the accused. This ensures the legitimacy of the request.

### 6. Non-Political and Non-Military Offenses

Extradition is typically denied for political offenses (e.g., sedition, treason) or military offenses (e.g., desertion), as these are not universally recognized as crimes.

### 7. Protection Against Inhumane Treatment

Extradition is often refused if the individual is likely to face torture, inhumane treatment, or a death sentence in the requesting state. States may require assurances that the individual will be treated fairly.

## 8. Nationality of the Offender

Some states refuse to extradite their own nationals, preferring to prosecute them domestically.

### **Indian Cases of Extradition under International Law**

India, as a party to various extradition treaties and agreements, has handled several notable extradition cases under international law:

#### **1. Vijay Mallya Case**

**Background:** Vijay Mallya, an Indian businessman, fled to the United Kingdom in 2016 after being accused of financial fraud and defaulting on loans amounting to ₹9,000 crore.

**Extradition Process:** India requested his extradition from the UK under the India-UK Extradition Treaty (1993).

**Outcome:** In 2018, a UK court approved his extradition, citing sufficient evidence against him. However, his extradition is delayed due to ongoing legal proceedings and appeals in the UK.

#### **2. Nirav Modi Case**

**Background:** Nirav Modi, an Indian jeweller, was implicated in the ₹13,500 crore Punjab National Bank fraud case and fled to the UK.

**Extradition Process:** India filed an extradition request under the India-UK Extradition Treaty.

**Outcome:** In 2021, a UK court approved Modi's extradition, stating that there was sufficient evidence and that he would not face inhumane treatment in India. He remains in custody pending appeals.

#### **3. Abu Salem Case**

**Background:** Abu Salem, an accused in the 1993 Bombay blasts, fled to Portugal.

**Extradition Process:** India sought his extradition under a mutual legal assistance agreement with Portugal.

**Key Issues:** Portugal initially hesitated due to India's death penalty provisions. India provided assurances that Salem would not be sentenced to death or tortured.

**Outcome:** Salem was extradited in 2005 and sentenced to life imprisonment in 2017.

#### **4. Kim Davy Case**

**Background:** Kim Davy (Niels Holck), a Danish national, was accused in the Purulia arms drop case (1995) in which weapons were dropped over West Bengal.

**Extradition Process:** India requested Davy's extradition from Denmark.

**Outcome:** The Danish court rejected India's request in 2011, citing concerns over possible inhumane treatment and poor prison conditions in India.

#### **5. David Headley Case**

**Background:** David Headley, an American citizen, was involved in the 2008 Mumbai terror attacks.

**Extradition Process:** India sought Headley's extradition from the United States.

Outcome: The United States refused to extradite Headley under its domestic law, as he had cooperated with U.S. authorities. Instead, he was tried and sentenced in the U.S.

## Conclusion

Extradition is a vital tool in international law to ensure that criminals cannot evade justice by fleeing across borders. The process relies heavily on bilateral or multilateral treaties and requires adherence to legal principles like dual criminality, specialty, and protection against inhumane treatment. India has been actively involved in pursuing extradition cases like those of Vijay Mallya, Nirav Modi, and Abu Salem, demonstrating the practical challenges and importance of international cooperation in combating transnational crimes.

## 15. Asylum

### Meaning and Definition of Asylum—

Asylum refers to the protection granted by a state to individuals who are fleeing persecution or serious harm in their home country. It is a mechanism by which a state exercises its sovereignty to provide refuge to individuals who seek protection against threats to their life, liberty, or dignity.

### Definitions:

#### Oppenheim:

“Asylum is the protection that a state grants within its territory or under its control to individuals who seek refuge from persecution in their country of origin or habitual residence.”

#### Grotius:

Asylum is grounded in the idea that individuals have a right to seek refuge and states have a duty, based on humanity, to provide shelter when individuals face persecution.

### Types of Asylum--

Asylum can be categorized based on where and how it is granted. The types include:

#### 1. Territorial Asylum

Definition: Protection granted by a state within its territory to individuals fleeing persecution from another state.

Examples: Refugees fleeing war or political persecution seeking protection in a neighbouring or third country.

Legal Basis: Article 14 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) recognizes the right to seek asylum. However, this is not an absolute right, and states may exercise discretion in granting it.

Case Law: Colombian-Peruvian Asylum Case (1950) (ICJ) highlighted that territorial asylum is subject to the domestic laws of the granting state.

#### 2. Diplomatic Asylum

Definition: Protection granted to individuals within the premises of a diplomatic mission (embassy or consulate).

Example: Julian Assange, the founder of WikiLeaks, was granted diplomatic asylum by Ecuador in its embassy in London.



Limitations: Unlike territorial asylum, diplomatic asylum is not universally recognized in international law and depends on treaties or customary practices between states.

Case Law: Colombian-Peruvian Asylum Case (1950) clarified that diplomatic asylum requires a special agreement or consistent practice between states.

### 3. Neutral Asylum

Definition: Asylum granted by neutral states during armed conflict to combatants fleeing belligerent territories.

Legal Basis: Governed by provisions under the Hague Convention V (1907).

Example: During World War II, Switzerland provided neutral asylum to soldiers from warring states.

### 4. Political Asylum

Definition: A subset of territorial or diplomatic asylum granted to individuals who flee political persecution, including dissidents, activists, and whistleblowers.

Example: Edward Snowden sought political asylum to avoid prosecution in the U.S. for leaking classified information.

### 5. Refugee Asylum

Definition: Protection granted under international refugee law to individuals escaping persecution based on race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or membership in a particular social group.

Legal Basis: Governed by the 1951 Refugee Convention and its 1967 Protocol.

Example: Refugees fleeing the Syrian civil war who sought protection in Europe.

## **Case Laws on Asylum Under International Law**

### **1. Colombian-Peruvian Asylum Case (1950)**

Facts: Colombia granted diplomatic asylum to Víctor Raúl Haya de la Torre, a Peruvian political leader accused of leading a rebellion. Peru contested Colombia's right to grant asylum, claiming no treaty or customary rule supported it.

Judgment: The ICJ held that diplomatic asylum is not universally recognized under international law and requires a specific agreement or consistent practice between states.

Principle: Diplomatic asylum cannot override the sovereignty of the territorial state.

### **2. Eichmann Case (1961)**

Facts: Adolf Eichmann, a Nazi war criminal, was abducted by Israeli agents from Argentina without formal extradition. Argentina protested, claiming a violation of its territorial sovereignty.

Outcome: While the UN condemned the violation of Argentina's sovereignty, Eichmann's trial proceeded. This case indirectly highlighted the limits of asylum in protecting individuals accused of serious international crimes like genocide.

### **3. Julian Assange and the Ecuadorian Embassy (2012)**

Facts: Julian Assange sought asylum in the Ecuadorian Embassy in London to avoid extradition to Sweden and potentially the U.S.

Outcome: Ecuador granted diplomatic asylum, citing fears of political persecution. However, Assange remained in the embassy for years because the UK did not grant safe passage.

Principle: Diplomatic asylum is limited without the consent of the host state.

#### **4. Aung San Suu Kyi (1989-2010)**

Facts: Aung San Suu Kyi, a political leader in Myanmar, was granted indirect asylum by foreign embassies that supported her opposition to the military regime.

Outcome: This highlighted the political dimension of asylum in cases involving human rights advocacy.

#### Conclusion

Asylum is an essential aspect of international law, balancing the sovereign rights of states with the protection of individuals facing persecution. While territorial asylum is widely recognized, diplomatic asylum remains contentious and context-dependent. Case laws like the Colombian-Peruvian Asylum Case illustrate the complex interplay between sovereignty, human rights, and state obligations, making asylum a nuanced and evolving concept in international law.

## **16. The Law of the Sea**

### Introduction

The Law of the Sea establishes the legal framework governing rights and responsibilities in maritime zones. Codified under the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) 1982, it divides the sea into various zones, each with specific rights, obligations, and limitations for coastal and non-coastal states.

#### 1. Territorial Waters (Maritime Belt)

Definition: Territorial waters extend 12 nautical miles from the baseline (usually the low-water mark along the coast). Coastal states exercise sovereignty over the airspace, seabed, and subsoil in this zone.

Key Principle: Other states have the right to innocent passage through territorial waters.

#### Innocent Passage

Meaning: Ships of all states can traverse territorial waters, provided they do not threaten the peace, security, or environment of the coastal state.

Restrictions: Military exercises, fishing, or pollution are not allowed.

Case Law: Corfu Channel Case (1949) (ICJ) – The UK claimed the right of innocent passage through Albania's territorial waters. The ICJ held that Albania violated international law by failing to notify of mine-laying activities, which endangered innocent passage.

#### 2. Contiguous Zone

Definition: The contiguous zone extends an additional 12 nautical miles beyond territorial waters (up to 24 nautical miles from the baseline).

Rights: Coastal states may enforce laws concerning customs, immigration, fiscal policies, and sanitation within this zone to prevent infringement of their laws within territorial waters.

### 3. Straits Used for International Navigation

Definition: Straits connecting two parts of the high seas or an EEZ are vital for global trade and navigation.

Legal Framework: UNCLOS grants ships and aircraft the right to transit passage, allowing unimpeded navigation without interference.

Case Law: Corfu Channel Case (1949) clarified that the right of innocent passage applies to international straits.

### 4. Archipelagic Waters of an Archipelagic State

Definition: Archipelagic states, like Indonesia and the Philippines, may draw archipelagic baselines connecting outermost islands. Waters enclosed within these baselines are considered archipelagic waters.

Rights: The state exercises sovereignty, but foreign vessels have the right of archipelagic sea-lane passage (similar to transit passage).

Legal Framework: Article 46-54 of UNCLOS.

### 5. Continental Shelf

Definition: The seabed and subsoil extending 200 nautical miles from the baseline, or beyond if the natural prolongation of the landmass continues up to 350 nautical miles.

Rights: Coastal states have sovereign rights to exploit natural resources, including oil, gas, and minerals.

Case Law: North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (1969) (ICJ) – Germany, Denmark, and the Netherlands disputed maritime boundaries. The ICJ emphasized the principle of equitable distribution and natural prolongation.

### 6. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ)

Definition: An EEZ extends up to 200 nautical miles from the baseline.

Rights:

Coastal states have sovereign rights to exploit natural resources, both living (fishing) and non-living (oil, minerals).

Other states retain freedoms of navigation, overflight, and submarine cables.

Case Law: Tunisia v. Libya (1982) (ICJ) – The ICJ ruled on delimitation of the EEZ, emphasizing equitable principles.

### 7. Freedom of the High Seas

Definition: High seas are areas beyond the EEZ, open to all states.

Rights: UNCLOS outlines freedoms for navigation, overflight, fishing, laying cables and pipelines, scientific research, and building artificial islands.

Obligations: States must cooperate to prevent piracy, trafficking, and pollution.

Case Law: Lotus Case (1927) (PCIJ) – Turkey and France disputed jurisdiction over a collision on the high seas. The court highlighted the principle of freedom of the seas and state sovereignty.

Right of Hot Pursuit--

The Right of Hot Pursuit is a principle codified under Article 111 of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS 1982), which allows a coastal state to pursue and apprehend a foreign vessel that has violated its laws and regulations within certain maritime zones, even if the vessel flees to the high seas. This right ensures the enforcement of a coastal state's jurisdiction and sovereignty over its waters.

### Meaning and Scope

**Definition:** The right of hot pursuit permits a coastal state to chase and seize a foreign ship if it is suspected of violating the state's laws in its internal waters, territorial sea, contiguous zone, exclusive economic zone (EEZ), or continental shelf, provided the pursuit begins while the ship is still in one of these zones.

**Objective:** To prevent foreign vessels from escaping enforcement actions by fleeing to areas beyond the coastal state's jurisdiction, such as the high seas.

### Conditions for Exercising Hot Pursuit

Under Article 111 of UNCLOS, the following conditions must be satisfied:

#### Commencement Within Jurisdictional Waters:

The pursuit must begin when the foreign vessel is located in the internal waters, archipelagic waters, territorial sea, or other jurisdictional zones of the coastal state.

Pursuit cannot commence if the vessel is already on the high seas.

#### Uninterrupted Pursuit:

The pursuit must be continuous and uninterrupted from the point it begins until the vessel is intercepted. Any break in the pursuit invalidates the claim.

#### Visual or Audible Signal:

The pursuing vessel must order the foreign ship to stop using visual or audible signals, ensuring the order is communicated before the pursuit begins.

#### Competent Authorities:

The pursuit must be carried out by authorized vessels or aircraft bearing visible markings of the state.

#### Violation of Laws:

The vessel must be reasonably suspected of violating the laws or regulations of the coastal state in its jurisdictional waters.

#### Cessation of Pursuit:

The right of hot pursuit ceases if the foreign vessel enters the territorial sea of another state.

### Legal Basis and Maritime Zones

The right of hot pursuit applies to violations occurring in the following zones:

- Internal Waters and Territorial Sea:

Direct violations of the coastal state's sovereignty and laws.

- Contiguous Zone:

Violations concerning customs, immigration, fiscal, or sanitary laws.

- Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ):

Breaches involving the exploration, exploitation, and conservation of resources.

- Continental Shelf:

Violations related to unauthorized resource extraction.

Limitations

Respect for Third-State Sovereignty:

Pursuit must stop when the fleeing vessel enters the territorial waters of another state.

Prohibition of Abuse:

The right cannot be exercised arbitrarily or without reasonable grounds.

Applicable Only to Foreign Vessels:

The principle does not apply to domestic ships.

#### **Case Laws on Hot Pursuit--**

- **The I'm Alone Case (1935):**

Facts: A Canadian ship suspected of smuggling alcohol was pursued by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel from the contiguous zone into the high seas. The ship was sunk during the pursuit.

Decision: The arbitration tribunal ruled that the U.S. had exceeded its right by sinking the vessel, emphasizing that hot pursuit must be proportionate to the offense.

- **The Saiga (No. 2) Case (1999):**

Facts: The oil tanker Saiga, registered in Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, was pursued and seized by Guinea for alleged violations in its EEZ.

Decision: The International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea (ITLOS) held that Guinea's action violated UNCLOS since there was no valid basis for hot pursuit, and procedural safeguards were not observed.

- **The Arctic Sunrise Case (2013):**

Facts: The Greenpeace vessel Arctic Sunrise, protesting Russian oil drilling in the Arctic, was pursued and detained by Russian authorities.

Decision: The tribunal emphasized that hot pursuit must comply with procedural requirements under UNCLOS, particularly the notification and signalling protocols.

The Right of Hot Pursuit is an important tool for enforcing the laws of coastal states and maintaining maritime order. However, its application must strictly adhere to the provisions of UNCLOS to prevent abuse and conflict between states. As demonstrated in cases like The Saiga and The I'm Alone, tribunals emphasize proportionality, procedural safeguards, and respect for international boundaries to balance the interests of enforcement with the principles of freedom of navigation.

8. International Seabed Area

**Definition:** The seabed and subsoil beyond the limits of national jurisdiction, referred to as the Area under UNCLOS.

**Rights:** The Area and its resources are designated as the common heritage of mankind, meaning exploitation must benefit humanity as a whole.

**Administration:** Overseen by the International Seabed Authority (ISA), which regulates mining and ensures environmental protection.

**Case Law:** Advisory Opinion of the Seabed Disputes Chamber (2011) – Clarified the responsibilities of states sponsoring mining activities in the Area.

## **Case Laws on the Law of the Sea**

### **1. Corfu Channel Case (1949)**

**Facts:** The UK claimed the right to innocent passage through Albania's territorial waters. Albania argued that the passage was not innocent due to military objectives.

**Judgment:** ICJ upheld the right to innocent passage but emphasized that it should not endanger the coastal state's security.

### **2. North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (1969)**

**Facts:** Germany, Denmark, and the Netherlands disputed boundaries for their respective continental shelves.

**Judgment:** ICJ emphasized equitable principles over strict equidistance in determining maritime boundaries.

### **3. South China Sea Arbitration (2016)**

**Facts:** The Philippines challenged China's claims over the South China Sea based on historic rights.

**Judgment:** The Permanent Court of Arbitration invalidated China's claims, emphasizing the rights of coastal states under UNCLOS.

### **4. Tunisia v. Libya Case (1982)**

**Facts:** Dispute over delimitation of maritime boundaries in the EEZ.

**Judgment:** ICJ emphasized equitable principles and considered the geographic and economic circumstances of both states.

### **5. Bangladesh v. Myanmar (2012)**

**Facts:** Dispute over maritime delimitation in the Bay of Bengal.

**Judgment:** The ITLOS used an equitable method, ensuring a fair division of the continental shelf and EEZ.

## **Conclusion**

The Law of the Sea under UNCLOS provides a comprehensive framework balancing the interests of coastal states, flag states, and the international community. Maritime zones, such as territorial waters, EEZs, and the high seas, each have specific legal regimes that promote cooperation and equitable use of ocean resources. Landmark cases like the Corfu Channel Case and the South China Sea Arbitration demonstrate the evolving interpretation of maritime laws in addressing disputes under international law.

## **17. Amicable Settlement of International Disputes and Compulsive or Coercive means of Settlement of International Disputes**

### Introduction

The settlement of international disputes is an essential mechanism for maintaining international peace and security. International disputes arise when there is a disagreement on facts, law, or policy between states, or when a state claims rights or imposes obligations on another. The United Nations Charter, particularly in Article 2(3) and Article 33(1), emphasizes peaceful means to resolve disputes that may threaten international peace. However, in certain situations, coercive means may be utilized as a last resort.

### I. Amicable Settlement of International Disputes--

Amicable settlement involves resolving disputes peacefully without the use of force or coercion. These methods prioritize dialogue, negotiation, and adherence to legal frameworks.

#### 1. Negotiation

Meaning: Direct discussions between disputing parties to arrive at a mutually acceptable solution.

Features:

Requires goodwill and flexibility from both parties.

Non-binding unless formal agreements are reached.

Example: The Camp David Accords (1978) between Egypt and Israel resulted from direct negotiations mediated by the United States.

#### 2. Mediation

Meaning: Involves a neutral third party that facilitates dialogue between the disputing states and proposes solutions.

Features:

Mediator has no binding authority.

Relies on the willingness of parties to accept mediation.

Example: The Norwegian mediation in the Israel-Palestine Oslo Accords (1993).

#### 3. Conciliation

Meaning: A formal process where a third-party conciliator evaluates the facts and proposes non-binding recommendations.

Features:

Involves detailed inquiry into the facts and causes of the dispute.

Similar to mediation but more structured.

Example: The Moresby Agreement (1982) between Papua New Guinea and Bougainville facilitated by conciliators.

#### 4. Arbitration

Meaning: A quasi-judicial process where disputing parties submit their case to an arbitral tribunal, which gives a binding decision.

Features:

Based on a prior agreement (arbitration treaty or clause).

Decisions are final and binding.

Example: The Alabama Claims Arbitration (1872) between the US and the UK.

## 5. Adjudication (Judicial Settlement)

Meaning: Resolution by an international court such as the International Court of Justice (ICJ).

Features:

Legally binding judgments.

Requires mutual consent to submit to jurisdiction.

Example: North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (1969) decided by the ICJ.

## 6. Inquiry (Fact-Finding)

Meaning: A neutral body investigates and presents facts about the dispute to clarify issues and assist in resolution.

Features:

Useful in factual disagreements.

Non-binding.

Example: The Dogger Bank Incident (1904) involving British and Russian naval forces.

## II. Coercive or Compulsive Means of Settlement of International Disputes

When peaceful methods fail, coercive means may be used, often as a last resort. These methods involve the use of pressure, sanctions, or force to compel compliance or resolution.

### 1. Retorsion

Meaning: Legal but unfriendly actions taken by one state to retaliate against another's unfriendly conduct.

Example: Diplomatic expulsion or economic restrictions.

### 2. Reprisals

Meaning: Illegal acts committed in response to the prior illegal act of another state, aimed at compelling compliance with international law.

Features:

Reprisals must stop once the offending state complies.

Example: Cyberattacks in retaliation for similar acts.

### 3. Embargo



Meaning: Restriction or prohibition on trade and commerce with the offending state.

Example: The US embargo on Cuba due to ideological and political conflicts.

#### 4. Boycott

Meaning: A refusal to engage in trade, commerce, or interaction with a state to exert pressure.

Example: The UN sanctions on South Africa during apartheid.

#### 5. Severance of Diplomatic Relations

Meaning: Termination of formal diplomatic ties to express disapproval or apply pressure.

Example: The severance of diplomatic relations between India and Pakistan during the Kargil conflict.

#### 6. Use of Force (Military Measures)

Meaning: The use of armed force as a last resort, subject to strict conditions under international law.

Legal Basis:

Article 51 of the UN Charter permits self-defence against an armed attack.

Chapter VII authorizes the UN Security Council to use force to maintain or restore peace.

Examples:

The Gulf War (1991): UN-authorized military action against Iraq.

NATO intervention in Kosovo (1999): Military action to prevent human rights violations.

### III. Case Laws on Settlement of Disputes

#### 1. Negotiation - South West Africa Cases (1966)

The ICJ emphasized that negotiations must involve genuine attempts to resolve disputes and cannot be mere formalities.

#### 2. Arbitration - Alabama Claims Arbitration (1872)

A landmark case where the US sought compensation from the UK for damages caused by British-built Confederate ships during the American Civil War.

#### 3. Adjudication - Corfu Channel Case (1949)

The ICJ ruled on the violation of Albania's territorial waters by the UK, emphasizing the importance of peaceful settlement.

#### 4. Military Measures - Nicaragua v. United States (1986)

The ICJ condemned the US for supporting Contra rebels in Nicaragua, violating international law principles.

Conclusion

The settlement of international disputes reflects a hierarchy of approaches, with amicable means prioritized to ensure peaceful coexistence and legal compliance. However, in extreme cases, coercive measures may be adopted, governed by international law, particularly the UN Charter. Balancing the sovereignty of states with the goal of maintaining international peace remains a critical challenge in this domain.

## 18. Law of Treaties

### Introduction

International treaties are fundamental instruments in regulating relations between states and other entities under international law. They provide binding agreements that establish rules and obligations accepted by the parties involved. The Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969) serves as the primary legal framework for the formation, interpretation, and enforcement of treaties.

### Definition of International Treaties--

Definition: According to Article 2(1)(a) of the Vienna Convention, a treaty is “an international agreement concluded between states in written form and governed by international law, whether embodied in a single instrument or in two or more related instruments, and whatever its particular designation.”

Treaties can take various forms, such as agreements, conventions, charters, protocols, covenants, and pacts.

### Formulation of Treaties--

The formulation of a treaty generally involves several stages:

- **Negotiation:**

Representatives of states discuss and agree on the terms of the treaty.

Diplomatic negotiation ensures that all parties' interests are addressed.

- **Adoption of Text:**

The agreed-upon text is formally adopted. Adoption may occur by consensus or majority vote at a diplomatic conference.

- **Signature:**

Representatives of the states sign the treaty, indicating their intention to be bound by its terms in the future.

- **Ratification:**

The treaty is formally approved through domestic legal processes, such as parliamentary approval. This signifies a binding commitment to the treaty's terms.

- **Accession:**

States that did not originally negotiate or sign the treaty can later agree to be bound by its terms through accession.

- **Entry into Force:**

The treaty becomes legally binding when the conditions specified in its text are fulfilled (e.g., a minimum number of ratifications).

- **Registration with the UN:**

Under Article 102 of the UN Charter, treaties must be registered with the United Nations to ensure transparency.

## Interpretation of a Treaty--

The interpretation of treaties is governed by Articles 31–33 of the Vienna Convention:

### General Rule (Article 31):

Treaties must be interpreted in good faith in accordance with the ordinary meaning of their terms, in their context, and in light of their object and purpose.

### Supplementary Means (Article 32):

If ambiguity persists, supplementary means such as preparatory work (travaux préparatoires) and the circumstances of conclusion may be considered.

### Languages (Article 33):

In cases of multilingual treaties, all texts are equally authentic, and discrepancies are resolved based on the treaty's object and purpose.

### Case Law:

**The Gabcikovo-Nagymaros Case (1997):** The ICJ emphasized interpreting treaties in light of their object and purpose.

## Validity of Treaties--

The validity of treaties is addressed in Articles 46–53 of the Vienna Convention:

### Grounds for Invalidation:

- Violation of Internal Law (Article 46): If a state's consent violates its fundamental internal law regarding treaty-making.
- Error (Article 48): A treaty can be invalidated if consent was based on a factual error.
- Fraud (Article 49): Induced consent by fraudulent conduct.
- Corruption (Article 50): Consent procured through corruption of the state's representative.
- Coercion (Articles 51–52): Consent obtained through coercion is invalid.
- Conflict with Jus Cogens (Article 53): Treaties violating peremptory norms (jus cogens) are void.

### Case Law:

**Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (2006):** The ICJ addressed invalidity arising from coercion.

## Termination of Treaties--

Treaties can be terminated in several ways, as specified in Articles 54–64 of the Vienna Convention:

### By Agreement (Article 54):

All parties agree to terminate the treaty.

### Fulfilment of Objective:

The treaty's purpose has been achieved or its term has expired.

### Breach of Treaty (Article 60):

A material breach by one party may entitle other parties to suspend or terminate the treaty.

🚧 Supervening Impossibility (Article 61):

Performance becomes impossible due to permanent destruction of the object essential for execution.

🚧 Rebus Sic Stantibus (Article 62):

Fundamental changes in circumstances make compliance unreasonable or impossible.

Example: Termination of the Warsaw Pact after the end of the Cold War.

🚧 Conflict with Jus Cogens (Article 64):

Treaties conflicting with new peremptory norms automatically terminate.

### Key Doctrines in Treaty Law

#### Pacta Sunt Servanda (Article 26):--

“Agreements must be kept.”

Treaties are binding and must be performed in good faith.

This principle ensures stability and trust in international agreements.

#### Pacta Terties Nec Nocent Nec Prosunt (Article 34):--

“A treaty does not create obligations or rights for third parties without their consent.”

Treaties bind only the parties to the agreement.

Example: Non-signatories to a bilateral trade treaty are not bound by its provisions.

#### Rebus Sic Stantibus (Article 62):--

“Things thus standing.”

Fundamental changes in circumstances may justify withdrawal from a treaty.

Example: Dissolution of Yugoslavia led to the re-evaluation of treaties involving the former state.

#### Jus Cogens (Article 53):--

Peremptory norms of international law are binding rules from which no derogation is permitted (e.g., prohibition of genocide, slavery, or aggression).

Treaties violating these norms are void.

### Case Laws on Law of Treaties

- **The Nuclear Tests Case (1974):**

The ICJ ruled that France's unilateral declaration to stop nuclear tests created a binding obligation under international law, showcasing the application of pacta sunt servanda.

- **The Fisheries Jurisdiction Case (1973):**

Highlighted the principle of rebus sic stantibus in disputes over changing fishing practices.

- **The Gabcikovo-Nagymaros Case (1997):**

The ICJ ruled on termination claims based on changed circumstances and emphasized good faith in treaty performance.

- **Case Concerning East Timor (1995):**

Affirmed the principle of *pacta tertiis*, ruling that third parties are not bound by treaties without their consent.

- **North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (1969):**

The ICJ emphasized the customary nature of some treaty provisions, binding even non-signatory states.

### Conclusion

Treaties form the backbone of international law by fostering cooperation and predictability among states. The Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (1969) provides a structured framework for their formulation, interpretation, validity, and termination, ensuring respect for state sovereignty while upholding universal norms such as *jus cogens*. Through principles like *pacta sunt servanda* and doctrines like *rebus sic stantibus*, treaties adapt to evolving international realities, supported by a robust body of case law.

## 19. United Nations

### Introduction

The United Nations (UN) is the most comprehensive international organization in modern history, dedicated to maintaining international peace and fostering cooperation among nations. It plays a pivotal role in global governance and international law, with its Charter serving as a foundational legal document.

#### I. Origin of the United Nations--

##### 1. Historical Background

The idea of an international organization to maintain peace and resolve conflicts emerged after the devastating impact of World War I. The League of Nations was established in 1920 as part of the Treaty of Versailles, but it failed to prevent aggression in the 1930s, leading to World War II.

##### 2. Formation of the United Nations

The UN was conceptualized during World War II to replace the League of Nations and create a more robust international system. Its development occurred through the following key stages:

#### The Atlantic Charter (1941):

Signed by the United States and the United Kingdom, it emphasized self-determination, economic cooperation, and disarmament.

#### The Declaration by United Nations (1942):

A coalition of 26 nations fighting the Axis Powers endorsed the Atlantic Charter and pledged cooperation against aggression.

#### The Moscow and Tehran Conferences (1943):

Major Allied powers agreed on the necessity of a new organization for peace.

#### The Dumbarton Oaks Conference (1944):

Draft proposals for the UN Charter were formulated by the US, UK, USSR, and China.

The Yalta Conference (1945):

Final agreements were made on voting procedures and the structure of the UN Security Council.

San Francisco Conference (1945):

Representatives of 50 nations gathered to finalize the UN Charter, which was signed on June 26, 1945, and came into effect on October 24, 1945.

II. Purposes of the United Nations--

The purposes of the UN are explicitly outlined in Article 1 of the UN Charter:

1. Maintaining International Peace and Security

To prevent and resolve conflicts through peaceful means, collective measures, and enforcement actions.

Example: UN peacekeeping missions in conflict zones like South Sudan and Cyprus.

2. Developing Friendly Relations Among Nations

Based on the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples, the UN aims to strengthen global cooperation and understanding.

Example: Efforts to decolonize territories post-World War II.

3. Achieving International Cooperation

Focus on solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character.

Promote human rights and fundamental freedoms for all, without discrimination.

Example: Initiatives by UN specialized agencies like UNESCO (education and culture) and WHO (health).

4. Harmonizing Actions of Nations

The UN acts as a platform for nations to collectively address global challenges like climate change, poverty, and pandemics.

Example: Adoption of the Paris Agreement (2015) under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change.

III. Principles of the United Nations--

The principles guiding the organization are enshrined in Article 2 of the UN Charter and provide a legal framework for its operations:

1. Sovereign Equality of States (Article 2(1))

All member states, regardless of size or power, have equal rights and obligations under the UN Charter.

Example: Equal voting rights in the UN General Assembly.

2. Peaceful Settlement of Disputes (Article 2(3))

Member states must resolve international disputes by peaceful means to avoid endangering international peace and security.

3. Prohibition of Use of Force (Article 2(4))

States are prohibited from threatening or using force against the territorial integrity or political independence of another state.

Exception: Self-defense under Article 51 and actions authorized by the UN Security Council under Chapter VII.

#### 4. Non-Intervention in Domestic Affairs (Article 2(7))

The UN cannot intervene in matters within a state's domestic jurisdiction unless under Chapter VII for maintaining peace.

Example: Limited UN action in civil wars unless there are broader international implications (e.g., Syria).

#### 5. Cooperation with the United Nations

Member states must fulfil their obligations under the UN Charter in good faith and cooperate with the organization.

#### 6. Collective Security

Member states agree to take collective measures against threats to peace, breaches of peace, or acts of aggression.

Example: UN-authorized military intervention during the Korean War (1950–1953).

#### 7. Self-Determination

The principle of self-determination supports the right of peoples to determine their political status and freely pursue development.

Example: UN's role in facilitating independence for former colonies.

#### 8. Respect for Human Rights

The UN promotes the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms without discrimination.

Example: Adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948).

### **Case Law on United Nations Principles**

#### **1. Corfu Channel Case (1949)**

The ICJ emphasized the UN's role in upholding the principle of peaceful settlement of disputes.

#### **2. Nicaragua v. United States (1986)**

The ICJ reaffirmed the prohibition of the use of force and non-intervention in domestic affairs.

#### **3. Namibia Advisory Opinion (1971)**

The ICJ endorsed the UN's authority to address violations of self-determination and illegal occupations.

#### **4. Kosovo Advisory Opinion (2010)**

Addressed the principle of self-determination in the context of Kosovo's unilateral declaration of independence.

Conclusion

The United Nations, born from the ashes of World War II, stands as a cornerstone of modern international law and diplomacy. Guided by its purposes and principles, the UN aims to foster peace, promote human rights, and ensure the collective security of its member states. Despite challenges and criticisms, the organization continues to be a vital platform for addressing global issues and upholding the rule of law.

## **20. General Assembly**

### **Introduction**

The United Nations General Assembly (UNGA) is one of the six principal organs of the United Nations and serves as a critical deliberative body within the international system. Established under the UN Charter (1945), the General Assembly provides a platform for member states to discuss, deliberate, and coordinate on a wide array of international issues.

#### **I. Composition of the General Assembly**

The General Assembly is composed of all the 193 member states of the United Nations, making it the most representative body of the UN.

Key Features of the Composition:

Membership:

Each member state is represented in the General Assembly.

Representation includes heads of state, foreign ministers, or permanent delegates.

Voting Rights:

Each member state has one vote, regardless of size, population, or economic power, ensuring equality in decision-making.

Observers:

Non-member entities like the Holy See and Palestine (as a non-member observer state) and intergovernmental organizations such as the European Union can participate as observers but do not have voting rights.

Sessions:

The General Assembly meets annually in regular sessions starting in September.

Special sessions and emergency sessions may also be convened upon request by member states, the Security Council, or the Secretary-General.

#### **II. Functions and Powers of the General Assembly**

The powers and functions of the General Assembly are outlined in Chapter IV of the UN Charter (Articles 10–17). As the central deliberative body of the UN, it addresses issues of global importance and provides a platform for consensus-building.

##### **1. Deliberative Functions:**



The General Assembly serves as a forum for member states to discuss international peace, security, and other global issues.

It can debate matters within the scope of the Charter or any issue affecting international relations.

Example:

Discussions on climate change, pandemics, and disarmament.

## 2. Supervisory Functions:

The Assembly oversees the work of other UN organs, including specialized agencies, funds, and programs.

Receives and reviews annual reports from bodies like the Security Council, Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), and the International Court of Justice (ICJ).

## 3. Legislative Functions:

The General Assembly adopts resolutions, which, while non-binding, carry significant moral and political weight.

It also creates international treaties and frameworks, such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) and the Paris Agreement on Climate Change (2015).

## 4. Peace and Security:

The General Assembly may discuss and make recommendations on international peace and security issues, provided the Security Council is not actively addressing the matter.

Uniting for Peace Resolution (1950):

Authorizes the General Assembly to act in cases where the Security Council fails to maintain peace due to a veto by a permanent member.

## 5. Elective Functions:

Elects non-permanent members of the Security Council and members of other UN organs, such as ECOSOC.

Elects the Secretary-General (upon the recommendation of the Security Council).

Elects judges of the ICJ jointly with the Security Council.

## 6. Budgetary Functions:

Approves the UN budget, including the budgets of specialized agencies.

Allocates financial contributions among member states.

Oversees financial administration, ensuring accountability and transparency.

## 7. Law-Making and Codification of International Law:

Promotes the codification and progressive development of international law.

Example: Adoption of treaties like the Law of the Sea Convention (1982) and the Genocide Convention (1948).

## 8. Decolonization and Self-Determination:

Advocates for the right to self-determination and the decolonization of territories.

Example: The establishment of the Special Committee on Decolonization (1961).

#### 9. Emergency Special Sessions:

Under Resolution 377 (Uniting for Peace), the General Assembly can convene emergency sessions to recommend collective measures in the face of threats to peace when the Security Council is deadlocked.

Example: Emergency session on the Ukraine crisis (2022).

### III. Key Limitations of the General Assembly's Powers

#### Non-Binding Resolutions:

General Assembly resolutions are generally advisory in nature and lack binding force, except for certain decisions like budget approval.

#### Subordinate Role in Peace and Security:

The Security Council has primary responsibility for maintaining international peace and security, limiting the Assembly's role in this domain.

#### Consensus Challenges:

The requirement of consensus or large majorities for decisions often hampers the efficiency of the General Assembly.

### IV. Case Laws and Examples Related to the General Assembly

#### ➤ **The Namibia Case (1971):**

The ICJ upheld the legality of the General Assembly's resolution terminating South Africa's mandate over Namibia, demonstrating the Assembly's influence in decolonization.

#### ➤ **The Wall Advisory Opinion (2004):**

The ICJ considered General Assembly resolutions when assessing the legality of Israel's construction of a separation wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territories.

#### ➤ **The Korean War (1950):**

The "Uniting for Peace" resolution empowered the General Assembly to authorize collective action during the Security Council's deadlock.

#### ➤ **Climate Action:**

The General Assembly initiated negotiations leading to the Paris Agreement on Climate Change, showcasing its role in environmental law.

### Conclusion

The General Assembly, with its universal representation and broad mandate, is a cornerstone of the United Nations. While its resolutions are largely advisory, they carry substantial political weight and influence global decision-making. Through its diverse functions—ranging from peacekeeping and law-making to supervising and budgeting—the General Assembly fosters cooperation and advances the principles of international law.

Despite its limitations, it remains a vital forum for addressing the challenges and aspirations of the international community.

## **21. ICJ**

### Introduction

The International Court of Justice (ICJ), often referred to as the "World Court," is the principal judicial organ of the United Nations (UN). It was established in 1945 by the UN Charter and operates under the Statute of the International Court of Justice, which forms an integral part of the Charter. Its role is to settle disputes between states and provide advisory opinions on legal questions referred by UN organs or specialized agencies.

### I. Composition of the International Court of Justice--

The ICJ is composed of 15 judges elected for nine-year terms by the UN General Assembly and the Security Council. Judges are eligible for re-election.

#### 1. Qualifications of Judges

Judges must possess:

High moral character.

Qualifications required in their respective countries for appointment to the highest judicial offices.

Recognized competence in international law.

No two judges may be nationals of the same state, ensuring global representation.

#### 2. Election Process

Judges are elected by a simultaneous but independent vote in the General Assembly and the Security Council.

To be elected, a candidate must obtain an absolute majority in both bodies.

The election process ensures that the ICJ represents the main legal systems of the world, including civil law, common law, and other traditions.

#### 3. Regional Representation

Though not formally codified, an informal practice ensures equitable geographical representation:

Africa: 3 judges.

Asia: 3 judges.

Latin America and the Caribbean: 2 judges.

Western Europe and Others: 5 judges.

Eastern Europe: 2 judges.

#### 4. President and Vice-President

The judges elect the President and Vice-President of the Court for a three-year term.

The President presides over hearings, directs deliberations, and represents the ICJ in its relations with other bodies.

## 5. Registry

The ICJ is supported by a Registry, which serves as its administrative arm.

## 6. Ad Hoc Judges

In cases where a party does not have one of its nationals among the sitting judges, it may appoint an ad hoc judge to participate in the proceedings.

## II. Jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice--

The ICJ has jurisdiction in two main capacities: Contentious Jurisdiction and Advisory Jurisdiction.

### 1. Contentious Jurisdiction

In contentious cases, the ICJ settles legal disputes between states. These rulings are binding on the parties involved.

#### A. Basis of Jurisdiction

The ICJ can exercise contentious jurisdiction only if the states concerned have consented. Consent may be given in the following ways:

##### By Treaty or Convention:

Many international treaties include clauses granting the ICJ jurisdiction over disputes concerning their interpretation or application.

Example: Disputes under the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide (*Bosnia v. Serbia*, 2007).

##### Through Compromissory Clauses:

States may insert compromissory clauses in treaties, specifying that disputes will be referred to the ICJ.

Example: Disputes under the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations (*Paraguay v. United States*, 1998).

##### Special Agreement (Compromise):

States may agree to submit a specific dispute to the ICJ.

Example: The Temple of Preah Vihear Case (*Cambodia v. Thailand*, 1962).

##### Optional Clause Declaration (Article 36(2) of the Statute):

States may declare that they recognize the ICJ's jurisdiction as compulsory concerning legal disputes with other states that have made similar declarations.

Example: The *Nicaragua v. United States* (1986) case involved the ICJ exercising jurisdiction under this clause.

#### B. Types of Disputes Handled

The ICJ adjudicates disputes involving:

Territorial and boundary issues.

Diplomatic and consular rights.

Interpretation of treaties.

Violation of international law.

Economic and environmental disputes.

## 2. Advisory Jurisdiction

The ICJ provides advisory opinions on legal questions referred to it by:

The UN General Assembly.

The Security Council.

Other UN organs or specialized agencies authorized by the General Assembly.

### A. Nature of Advisory Opinions

Advisory opinions are non-binding but carry significant legal and moral weight.

They are often used to clarify international law or guide the conduct of states and international organizations.

### B. Examples of Advisory Opinions

Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory (2004):

The ICJ opined that the construction of the wall by Israel violated international law.

Accordance with International Law of the Unilateral Declaration of Independence in Respect of Kosovo (2010):

The ICJ held that Kosovo's unilateral declaration of independence did not violate international law.

## III. Key Features of ICJ Jurisdiction

### A. Binding Nature of Decisions

ICJ rulings in contentious cases are binding on the parties involved (Article 94 of the UN Charter).

However, the ICJ lacks enforcement powers, relying on the Security Council for implementation.

### B. Principle of State Consent

The ICJ cannot compel states to appear before it or accept its jurisdiction without their consent.

### C. Exclusively for States

Only states can be parties to contentious cases before the ICJ. Individuals, corporations, and non-state entities cannot directly bring cases.

### D. Limitations

Jurisdiction is limited to states that are parties to the Statute of the ICJ, which includes all UN member states.

## IV. Case Laws on ICJ Jurisdiction

### 1. Corfu Channel Case (United Kingdom v. Albania, 1949)

The ICJ asserted its jurisdiction based on a special agreement between the parties. The case involved state responsibility for mining international waters.

### 2. Nicaragua v. United States (1986)

The ICJ exercised jurisdiction under the optional clause declaration. The court ruled against the United States for unlawful military intervention in Nicaragua.

### **3. Avena Case (Mexico v. United States, 2004)**

The ICJ exercised jurisdiction based on a compromissory clause in the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. The case addressed the rights of Mexican nationals under consular protection.

### **4. Advisory Opinion on Western Sahara (1975)**

The ICJ provided an advisory opinion on the legal status of Western Sahara, emphasizing the right to self-determination.

#### Conclusion

The ICJ plays a vital role in maintaining international peace and security by settling disputes between states and clarifying international law through its advisory opinions. Its jurisdiction, however, is dependent on state consent, and its effectiveness often hinges on the cooperation of states and the support of the UN Security Council. Despite these challenges, the ICJ remains a cornerstone of the international legal system.

## **22. Security Council**

### Introduction

The Security Council (SC) is one of the six principal organs of the United Nations (UN) and plays a central role in maintaining international peace and security. Established under Chapter V of the United Nations Charter, the Security Council has a unique mandate to take action in response to threats to peace, breaches of peace, and acts of aggression. Its composition, functions, and powers make it a pivotal organ in the UN system.

#### I. Composition of the Security Council--

The Security Council is composed of 15 members, which includes both permanent and non-permanent members.

##### 1. Permanent Members (P5)

The five permanent members, often referred to as the P5, are:

China

France

Russia

United Kingdom

United States

These countries have been granted permanent membership in the Security Council due to their significant role in the establishment of the UN and their leadership in the post-World War II international order.

#### Veto Power:

The P5 members hold the unique power of veto. This means that if any one of the permanent members votes against a substantive decision, the proposal is automatically blocked, even if the other members support it. The veto power applies to decisions regarding substantive issues such as sanctions, peacekeeping missions, and the admission of new members to the UN.

Example: The veto power was famously used by the United States to block resolutions against Israel in the 1980s.

## 2. Non-Permanent Members

The Security Council also includes 10 non-permanent members elected for two-year terms by the UN General Assembly. The election ensures that there is rotation, allowing for the inclusion of different countries from all regions.

Election Process:

Non-permanent members are elected based on geographical distribution, with seats allocated as follows:

Africa: 3 seats

Asia: 2 seats

Latin America and the Caribbean: 2 seats

Western Europe and Others: 2 seats

Eastern Europe: 1 seat

Non-permanent members do not possess veto power, and their decisions are made by the majority vote of the Security Council members.

## 3. President of the Security Council

The Security Council rotates the presidency among its members on a monthly basis. The president's role is primarily procedural, presiding over meetings and representing the Security Council in its external relations.

## II. Functions of the Security Council--

The Security Council has a primary responsibility to maintain international peace and security. Under the United Nations Charter, the Security Council is entrusted with several functions to prevent conflicts, resolve disputes, and take enforcement actions.

### 1. Maintenance of International Peace and Security (Chapter VI and VII)

Chapter VI of the UN Charter empowers the Security Council to take non-coercive actions to resolve disputes peacefully, including:

Investigating situations that may lead to international conflict.

Mediation and Conciliation: The SC may recommend terms of settlement to the parties involved in a dispute.

Diplomatic Measures: Encouraging states to resolve their differences through negotiation, inquiry, or other peaceful means.

Chapter VII provides the SC with the authority to take coercive actions if it determines that there is a threat to peace, breach of peace, or act of aggression. This includes:

Sanctions: The Security Council can impose economic, trade, or military sanctions on states or groups to pressure them into compliance.

Peacekeeping Missions: It can authorize the deployment of peacekeeping forces to maintain peace and security in conflict zones (e.g., UNIFIL in Lebanon, UNMISS in South Sudan).

Use of Force: In extreme cases, the Security Council can authorize military interventions to maintain or restore peace and security. Examples include the Gulf War (1990–1991) and the NATO-led intervention in Kosovo (1999).

## 2. Authorization of Peacekeeping and Other Operations

The Security Council has the authority to establish peacekeeping operations and deploy military and civilian personnel to prevent or resolve conflicts. These operations are conducted under the leadership of the Department of Peace Operations (DPO) within the UN.

Example: The UN peacekeeping mission in Cyprus (UNFICYP), authorized by the SC, continues to maintain peace in the region since 1964.

## 3. Promotion of Non-Proliferation and Disarmament

The Security Council is also responsible for ensuring compliance with international treaties on arms control, such as the Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), and can take actions against states violating international disarmament agreements.

Example: The imposition of sanctions on North Korea for conducting nuclear tests.

## III. Powers of the Security Council--

The Security Council has substantial powers to ensure the maintenance of international peace and security. These powers are laid out primarily in Chapter VII of the UN Charter.

### 1. The Use of Sanctions

The SC can impose sanctions to enforce its decisions, including:

Economic Sanctions: Restricting trade or freezing financial assets.

Arms Embargoes: Preventing the supply of arms to a particular country or conflict zone.

Travel Bans and Asset Freezes: Targeting specific individuals or entities involved in unlawful activities.

Example: Sanctions on Iran for its nuclear program, or sanctions imposed on Russia for its actions in Ukraine.

### 2. The Authorization of the Use of Force

Under Article 42 of the UN Charter, the Security Council can authorize the use of force in situations where peaceful means have failed to resolve a threat to international peace and security. This is typically done through a Security Council resolution.

Example: The Gulf War (1990-1991) was authorized by the Security Council after Iraq's invasion of Kuwait.

### 3. Deployment of Peacekeeping Forces

The SC can authorize the deployment of peacekeeping operations, as mentioned earlier, to intervene in conflicts and maintain peace and security on the ground.

Example: The deployment of peacekeepers in Rwanda after the 1994 genocide, and the continuing peacekeeping operations in Bosnia and Congo.

### 4. Supervising the Work of the International Criminal Court (ICC)



The Security Council can refer cases to the International Criminal Court (ICC) for prosecution under Article 13(b) of the Rome Statute.

Example: Referral of the situation in Darfur, Sudan, to the ICC for investigation and prosecution of war crimes.

#### IV. Decision-Making Process

The Security Council's decision-making process is shaped by the structure of voting:

For substantive decisions, a resolution requires:

9 affirmative votes from the 15 members.

No veto from any of the five permanent members.

For procedural matters, only a simple majority is required, and the P5 do not have veto power.

Example: The resolution authorizing military action in Libya (2011) passed with the support of 10 members and the backing of the P5, but Russia and China abstained from voting.

#### V. Case Laws and Precedents Involving the Security Council

##### 1. Lockerbie Case (Libya v. United States and United Kingdom) (1992)

The ICJ ruled that Libya should comply with a Security Council resolution demanding the handover of suspects involved in the bombing of Pan Am Flight 103 over Lockerbie, Scotland, in 1988.

##### 2. Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Use of Force (2004)

The ICJ provided an advisory opinion that the use of force by the United States in Iraq was not authorized by the Security Council and therefore violated international law.

##### 3. NATO Intervention in Kosovo (1999)

NATO's military intervention in Kosovo without Security Council authorization sparked debates on the legality of bypassing the Council's authority, raising questions about the relationship between the UN and regional organizations.

#### VI. Conclusion

The Security Council is the most powerful and critical organ of the United Nations, entrusted with the responsibility of maintaining international peace and security. Its composition, with the inclusion of both permanent and non-permanent members, reflects a balance of power among states. The functions and powers of the Security Council—ranging from the peaceful settlement of disputes to the authorization of military action—demonstrate its pivotal role in addressing threats to international stability. However, its decisions are often subject to the political interests of the permanent members, particularly due to the veto power. Despite this limitation, the Security Council remains central in shaping the global order.